NATIONAL WEEKLY

Social Justice

Founded 1936 by Father Coughlin

10c

Royal Oak, Michigan | July 14, 1941 | Subscription: Three Dollars Per Year

AN ANSWER TO BISHOP HURLEY

(See Page 3)

NEW DEAL INTERNATIONALISM 'THROUGH' IF SOVIET FALLS

(See Page 4)

America Can Avert Threatened Chaos By Legal 'Purge'

(See Page 4)

How John Bull Utilizes the Lease-Lend Law

COMMENT ON WORLD EVENTS ★ REDS IN U. S. GOVERNMENT POSITIONS ★ SPECIAL PRIZES IN JUBILEE CONTEST

Dinner Chat

Let Us All Pray for Peace

BOTH English and German bishops "seem only to urge prayer for peace, not for victory, and they mean to pay a compliment to God," says Canon Arthur Jackman in the June number of his *Holy Roodlets*, published in England.

"Both sides can get peace, and it is our business to ask for it; but both sides cannot have the victory, and it may be God's business to settle that," Msgr. Jackman continues, adding:

"There is also sound common sense in the distinction: let us pray for peace, but fight for victory. Peace is a primary benefit that comes from God and may go to all; victory is a secondary benefit that goes to the better fighter.

"Even in victory God may claim a finger, for it is not always the victor who benefits most by his victory. It may hurt him more than the vanquished.

"'Nothing except a battle lost can be half so melancholy as a battle won,' said the Duke of Wellington. Besides, it may be better to fight for victory than to talk about it. The French Bishops spoke a trifle too much about victory before it was won, being so sure of it because their cause was just. It all depends! So, let us pray for peace."

16 PRINCIPLES OF SOCIAL JUSTICE

Liberty of conscience and education.
Just living annual wage.
Nationalization of important public resources.
Private ownership of all other property.
Control of private property for public good.
Abolition of Federal Reserve Banking System and establishment of a Government-owned Central Bank.
Restoration to Congress of its sole right to coin and regulate the value of money.
Cost of living maintained on an even keel.
Cost of production plus a fair profit for the farmer.
Labor's right to organize.
Recall of non-productive bonds.
Abolition of tax-exempt bonds.
Broadened base of taxation on basis of ownership and capacity to pay.
Simplification of Government and lower taxes.
Conscription of wealth as well as men in event of war.
Sanctity of human rights preferred to sanctity of property with Government's chief concern for the poor.

SOCIAL JUSTICE National Weekly. Copyrighted 1941 by the Social Justice Publishing Company, publication and editorial office, Royal Oak, Michigan. Subscription price United States and possessions, $3.00 per year. Unless otherwise directed all subscriptions begin with the current issue. Three weeks are required to effect change of subscriber's address. Give both old and new addresses. Entered as second class matter, March 12, 1937, at the Post Office, Royal Oak, Michigan, under the Act of March 3, 1879. E. Perrin Schwartz, Editor; Cora Quinlan, Secretary-Treasurer.

SOCIAL JUSTICE is fully protected by copyright and nothing that appears in it may be reprinted either wholly or in part, without special permission. The use of articles or quotations from SOCIAL JUSTICE for digest, advertising, promotions and stock-selling schemes is never authorized.

Vol. 8 July 14, 1941 No. 2

Book Sold Out

MR. JAMES E. BISTOR, president of Honest Money Founders, Inc., of Chicago, Illinois, writes as follows:

"The readers of SOCIAL JUSTICE Magazine are surely becoming well informed on the subject of money manipulation by International Bankers and war-mongers. They should be, because they have listened attentively to Father Coughlin's broadcasts for more than 10 years and have read SOCIAL JUSTICE regularly since it was first published in 1936, more than five years ago.

"We have a complete file of SOCIAL JUSTICE Magazines which we use for reference and prize most highly.

"Your readers may be interested to know that since May 19th, when you published a letter from someone in Elkhart, Indiana [Editor's note: Mr. James A. Bell] on the popular "Letters from Readers" page, which recommended the last book written by the late Arthur Kitson, *'The Bankers Conspiracy,'* which we republished a few months ago, that orders have come in so fast and in such quantities that our stock of this unusual book has become completely exhausted. We have today ordered another large printing of *'The Bankers Conspiracy'* so that we will be prepared within a week to continue to fill all orders we receive for it.

". . . Knowledge is power. May your important magazine continue to spread its valuable information and documented facts to its hundreds of thousands of readers for many years to come.

"We may then be able to retain some semblance of our Republic during these hectic weeks of world revolution."

"Faithfully and cordially yours,

"Honest Money Founders, Inc."

Doing His Part

A NEW YORK correspondent of SOCIAL JUSTICE writes as follows:

"When and if this country finally sends her young manhood off across the sea, to fight for Britain and the raving refugees, we the plain people can blame no one but ourselves.

"When we had the man before the 'mike' who could have put a stop to the mad war trend—what did we do? We let *'them'* bar him from the air! What are we doing to get him back? Next to nothing!

"Are we even doing anything about the great paper, SOCIAL JUSTICE, that he gave us so that it may continue to live as a symbol of hope, freedom, justice and peace?

"We need to get this, our own weekly, into the hands of more and more people, who like ourselves need SOCIAL JUSTICE even more than it needs us.

"Every one of us should send for extra copies, either to sell them or give them away—and so, Mr. Editor, please send me..... extra copies for the next 5 weeks.

"I expect repayment in many ways, among which will be the pleasure of again hearing the golden voice of Father Coughlin . . . My few extras may seem very little, but when multiplied by the efforts of others, it can be a tidal wave. Once in war, we will be taxed many times the cost of these few SOCIAL JUSTICE extras."

We commend the writer of this letter. He did what he could and he did not put off starting it. The letter, we might add, is typical and similar requests are multiplying. If it gives still others an idea, so much the better.

Pete Bids Farewell to 'Tour Boss' Fr. Keating

H'YA, FOLKS:

Well, I'm here t' tell ya that th' heet wav's broken, 'r I wouldn't be here t' tell ya.

Pal join'd me at th' dog farm th' las' week 'r so. Y' see us maskots hav' gotta hav' our vakashuns too.

He told me all about th' big doin's in Royal Oak for his Boss's anniversary. He also sed th' story was alreddy in th' magazine 'n I needn't feel too badly about bein' skoop'd.

July Fourth also turn'd out t' be annuther big day. It sorta looks 's if th' celebrashun f'r Pal's Boss'd last all Summer. Beleeve me, a whol' year wouldn't be overdoin' 't either.

* * *

It seems all th' lokul news this week's in Pal's departmunt, but Father Keating belongs to all the rest uv us, too. Th' young curate, who has been at th' Shrine f'r the last seven years, is going t' a parish in St. Clair, Michigan. I hear this is a pretty seaport a littl' way up th' lakes. It's quite familiar to everybuddy who's ever done any sailin' in these parts 'r motored through our beautiful Grate Lakes region. 'N it's not too far away that we can't get up t' see him now 'n then 'n vice versa.

The "boys" uv th' Shrine Ushers Club 'n a lot uv his Detroit fren's gave Father Keating a farewell party at Adrian Cotter's—that's th' tavern out there near th' Shrine with a name lik' a book title.

Uv coarse we're all sorry t' see Father Keating leave. Pal's little fren's at th' skool, especially, all lik'd him a lot 'n in th' seven years he's been an assistunt pastor at th' Shrine th' popular young priest has made a big cirkul uv frens.

Father Keating has been the "tour boss" 'n tended t' all th' details uv th' excursions 'n Summer tours. Also don't ferget he's by way o' bein' somethin' uv a orator himself. Folks in a lotta cities already know that.

I guess farewells 'n transfers 'r all part uv a priest's life. They sorta keep him from growin' too fond uv any one place 'r any one crowd uv people. It keeps him remind'd uv th' transitory nature uv th' world 'n keeps a priest's mind on th' more importunt part uv his job. Then, too, his many moves 'n many parishes gives a priest a lotta mem'ries f'r his sunset years, as th' sayin' is. Here's hopin' that in his old days after a long life in God's vineyard, his mem'ries of his early years in Royal Oak will be th' fondest rekollekshun that "Old Father Keating" will have—except uv coarse he'll prob'ly hav' a title uv sum kind by that time.

Meantime, Pal's lookin' f'r a lot uv you folks t' visit th' Shrine this year on th' tours Father Keating has alreddy laid out.

* * *

Th' letters 'r beginning t' pour in on th' edditors complimentin' SOCIAL JUSTICE on its Jubilee edition. Y' can't say I didn't tell ya in advance. Lots uv folks 'r clam-morin' f'r extra copies 'n th' girls will supply th' requests only as long as the supply lasts.

We hope these extra copies will reach a lotta new readers who'll wanta see more uv th' magazine. As I offen say, th' paper itself is th' best answer to all th' bad things that 'r sed about it. Y'know if a smeer is not true th' faks'll take care uv it just as soon as they're known. An' th' truth will always kick back hard'r than th' lie did in th' first place. Aint it funny how long it tak's sum peeple t' learn that simple fak!

This littl' kupon we run down here in this korner ev'ry week is a chance f'r you t' learn some more faks—not only about SOCIAL JUSTICE but some importunt things ya need t' know about your country. We print th' little kupons week after week and we're always pleased to see 'em come back with a pretty muney ordur clip'd to 'em.

Keep it up, 'n I'll be seein' ya.

— Pete.

SUBSCRIPTION COUPON

Date_____

Name_____

Address_____ City_____

State_____ Subscription rate $3 a year Renewal ☐ New ☐

SOCIAL JUSTICE PUBLISHING CO., Royal Oak, Michigan

Comment

An Answer to Bishop Hurley

CATHOLICS and Protestants over the nation were stunned by the intemperate pronouncements made by Bishop Hurley of St. Augustine, Florida, in his arranged national broadcast.

Catholics were stunned to think that a Bishop—the youngest Bishop in America—should cast aside the substantial warning given us by the Prince of Peace—*"Put up again thy sword into its place: for all that take the sword shall perish with the sword."*

Protestants were agog when they discovered that Bishop Hurley dared attempt to turn the scales in favor of a shooting war, particularly when the majority of American Protestants are against a shooting war.

What thoughts agitate the minds of the 65 per cent of our citizens who possess no affiliation with any religion are not known. Among this major group there are mothers and fathers whose sons, if Bishop Hurley had his way, would be sacrificed for an ideal the parents themselves do not cherish at home.

How the gentle Christ will accept the war-mongering prelate's appeal likewise is not known—the same gentle Christ Who could have called down legions of angels (and did not), to slay his unjust aggressors in the Garden of Gethsemane.

However, we have the sad spectacle of a Roman Catholic Bishop, endorsed by the National Catholic Welfare Conference and its executive chairman, Archbishop Mooney of Detroit, leaping into the international political fray for the purpose of embroiling American sons in war. It is unthinkable that Bishop Hurley has forgotten the words of Pius XII who said: "If war, all may be lost."

Bishop Hurley has no sons of his own to lose.

Bishop Hurley contributes no taxes to pay for the cost of the war in which he asks his fellow citizens to enter.

And Bishop Hurley, we are confident, does not speak for the majority of Catholics in these United States even though a majority of the Bishops uphold him in his demogogery—a demogogery on a par with that of the Senator from Florida, Claude Pepper.

The National Catholic Welfare Conference, for whom Bishop Hurley spoke, is regarded by statesmen and citizenry as the official voice of Catholicism in America.

Be it known that it is a voluntary organization, no Bishop being obligated to identify himself with it, up to date, by any papal order.

If the Conference persists in supporting the views of this American Talleyrand, only one result will eventuate—a schism in the ranks of the Catholic Church.

And many of the clergy and laity in the Church—knowing more of its inner operations—will be apt to conclude that Talleyrand Hurley is nothing more than the voice of a clerical Charlie McCarthy speaking for one who lacks courage to speak for himself.

Definitely, these are serious words which we are writing. The occasion, nevertheless, calls for giving expression to them when one considers that Bishop Hurley not only perpetuates the policy of Mundeleinism — a policy which supported the Loyalist cause in Spain—but proceeds further in advocating the abdication of Congress.

The Florida Bishop, in his most un-American style, said that secrecy, at this juncture, was of first importance—*so important that the members of Congress cannot be permitted to share the country's official secrets in our present emergency.* He also said that in the emergency of war, Congress should abdicate and surrender its right to declare war to the President of the United States.

* * *

Our founding fathers anticipated the appearance of such men as Talleyrand Hurley in our national life. Knowing that the people themselves never want war, these founding fathers refused to entrust the declaration of war to any one man or to any group of un-elected men. Prudently, they left this high decision to the representatives of the people, the Congress of the United States—the same Congress which this un-American prelate would cashier, if he and his prompters had their way.

Bishop Hurley said that the Nazis are the number one enemy of America and of the world. By his own statement, Bishop Hurley and those for whom he spoke, stand indicted today as Enemy Number One of American democracy. By Bishop Hurley's words—if they are not repudiated officially—the N.C.W.C. will sacrifice American democracy, the *Constitution* and the *Bill of Rights* to send an unprepared and ill-equipped army to fight a war, not only against Hitler, but in favor of Communism and Imperialism!

As such, they have invited repercussions on the innocent Catholic people in America—repercussions not originating from Hitler, but from their fellow citizens who love American democracy and who will die for it.

Bishop Hurley said that Nazi sympathizers in the United States are trying to picture the Russo-German conflict as a "holy crusade against Communism."

The Bishop offered no proof for his gratuitous statement.

It is true that many Catholics—including ourselves—have quoted the words of Pius XI who said that *"Communism is intrinsically wrong and no one who would save Christian civilization may collaborate with it in any manner whatsoever."*

These words, evidently, are papal pleasantries, as far as Bishop Hurley is concerned.

By some process of moral gymnastics the ecclesiastical Claude Pepper also adopts the conclusion that a shooting war is inevitable at this moment. But he fails to reason out that our safety and defense lie in preparing ourselves against the day, if ever, when our shores are attacked, our cities bombed and our fields devastated. What we need is national defense, not national chaos.

Consistently, we have opposed both Naziism and Communism. As much cannot be said for Bishop Hurley and the National Catholic Welfare Conference whose Monsignor John Ryan has been the bed-partner of Communists and the appeaser of Communism.

And this perennial officer of the National Catholic Welfare Conference has been retained in office throughout the hectic years when unofficial priests have been battling the agents of Naziism and Communism in our midst.

* * *

No true American holds brief for Nazi Germany; and no true American should hold brief for Communist Russia or imperial Britain.

God help the Catholic Church if its existence depends upon the aggressions of imperial Britain and the help of Soviet Russia to sustain it as a living force amongst the institutions of mankind!

Bishop Hurley said that Adolf Hitler has designs on America. To support his assertion he referred to the many Nazi-Germans resident in South America.

The argument with which the American Talleyrand supports his conclusion is froth; for one could contend that Russia had designs on America; that Britain had designs on America; and that Vatican City had designs on America by the presence of the agents of those countries in our midst—agents more numerous and more potent than those stemming from Berlin.

It is generally known that Britain's alliance with Russia and President Roosevelt's pledge to go all out for Russia have caused a defection amongst Catholic laymen who, previously, were inclined to support an all-aid-for-Britain policy.

The Florida Bishop's broadcast may have been arranged to offset that defection.

* * *

Incidentally, the Florida Bishop loosed a bitter attack upon the Catholic minority who have been outspoken for peace.

If the Catholic minority were only "flagpole sitters" and "marathon dancers" as this clerical Claude Pepper described them, there would be no need for his intemperate,

(Continued on Page 4)

Index to Comment

An Answer to Bishop Hurley ..... Page 3

The Outcome of the War; America Can Avert Threatened Chaos; More on the *Robin Moor* ..... Page 4

Roosevelt's Red Aides; Defense 'Boom' Paying Off; Rudolph Hess and the Lord Mayor of Glasgow ..... Page 5

Editorials: British Morality; Eat Less—and Hate; Note for War-Mongering Clerics; Uncle Sam, Alley Merchant ..... Page 6

Zionists Turn to U. S. to Aid Homeland ..... Page 7

Communists Real Sabotage Menace; Confusion More Confused; the New Deal Revealed ..... Page 8

Nazi-Soviet Clash Surprise to Diplomats; War Correspondent Tells of London in Ruins ..... Page 9

Thousands Reaffirm Loyalty to Principles ..... Page 12

Fr. Curran Speaks at Peace Mass; the Federal Debt ..... Page 13

United States, Jews and South America; How John Bull Uses Lease-Lend Law ..... Page 16

Free Nations vs. 'Soviets Ltd.' ..... Page 17

On With the Parade ..... Page 19

Justice Now! ..... Page 20

Comment

(Continued from Page 3)

war-mongering, illogical, un-Christly, un-American broadcast.

The fact is that the so-called "minority" is the majority—a majority whom certain ecclesiastics in the N.C.W.C. are endeavoring to regiment in a political matter.

Be it known, that Catholics are ordered to pray for peace. By his statement, the American Talleyrand hypocritizes prayer by endeavoring to create war. His speech definitely gave occasion to non-Catholics to regard the Church's official prayers as sheep-clothing for wolfish designs.

Since this mitered politician, this non-taxpayer, this scoffer at the "put up the sword" policy, has pole-vaulted into prominence on the crozier of a bishop, be it remembered that the publicity he has gained is merely accidental. Without the crozier, he would still grovel in the solitude of the Everglades.

As man to man he would be a poor match competing with Cardinal O'Connell, Archbishop Curley, Archbishop Beckman, Bishop Shaughnessy, the silenced Father Coughlin and many others who do not enjoy the questionable support of the National Catholic Welfare Conference.

Bishop Hurley's words are not the words of the Catholic Church.

Bishop Hurley's words do not express the sentiment of the Catholic people of this nation.

God help our poor people should they be forced to accept not only their spiritual food, but their political pap from such an "inspired" co-operator with Communists and advocate of American dictatorship.

Now that the United States has occupied Iceland, our action invites the shooting war. And our entrance into a shooting war will enjoy the moral support of War Monger Hurley and the N.C.W.C., which organization will be remembered in American history for its criminal silence while simple-minded Catholics were praying for peace.

The Outcome of the War

IF EXECUTIVE and diplomatic Washington were stunned by the surrender of France, they were doubly stunned by the declaration of war against Soviet Russia by Adolf Hitler.

From the time Russia and Germany signed a co-operative peace pact it was well understood that this document was brought into existence not through love but through fear on the part of Stalin—fear, lest his disorganized, discontented horde of regimented citizens would be fifth columnized by Germany to the point of revolution.

Sooner or later it was expected the pact would be consigned to the graveyard of lost hope.

Seizing the most opportune moment to fulfill his first pledge—namely, the destruction of Communism—Hitler struck Russia when the corn and wheat were green. This precluded the burning of the crops. This guaranteed a full granary for the anti-Communists.

* * *

Too much emphasis has been placed upon the diplomatic sagacity possessed by Stalin. In its analysis, it was not sagacity unless one cares to define deception as such.

Stalin is freighted down with a clumsy, portsided ideology whose circular course always veers to the left. It was only a matter of time until he caught up with himself. It was only a matter of time until the more astute Hitler trapped him in his own intrigues.

Stalin's proclivity for destructionism proved to be his downfall. Originally Lenin, Trotsky and Bela Kun destroyed their political opponents and massacred many millions of Christians. Later on Stalin overcame his military opponents inside Russia by the gentle art of liquidation. Eventually, lacking leadership for his forces, Stalin besought so-called disaffected Germans to superintend factories and marshal armies under the straw men of Russia.

* * *

Thus, the battle goes on. Within a fortnight Latvia, Lithuania and Estonia welcomed the intrusion of Nazi forces. White Russia and the Ukraine followed suit. Inevitably Moscow and Leningrad, not too far distant from the German borderland, will hear the steps of the Nazi invaders.

The house of cards that "jack" built—the "jack" partially supplied by Jacob Schiff of Kuhn, Loeb and Company—will have fallen. The threat of world-wide Communism will have evaporated. The subversive forces stemming from Moscow will have spent themselves except for the momentum still being manifest in the United States of America.

Most likely, historians will record that the right wing of British imperialism was first disabled; then the left wing of Russian Communism was severed, thereby leaving the bird of prey defenseless save for the dulled talons of its imperialistic ally and the fledglings hatched in the nest of Washington.

With the impending fall of Russia and the decadence of the British Empire, their New Deal supporters in these United States already find themselves leaning over the apex of their achievements. Soon, their descent into oblivion will be quick and sure.

On their way down they may pull others after them. They may destroy constitution, bill of rights, private property and public institutions.

All this may be a price which Americans will be forced to pay to sever the chains of bondage which have anchored us to international banking and international politics —the former controlled in London, the latter in Moscow.

America Can Avert Threatened Chaos

WILL American boys be sent abroad to fight?

The answer to that question cannot be categorical. Nevertheless, it is not now likely that many of them will be taken abroad, with their ill-suited equipment, to face a foreign foe.

Will the war continue much longer? There is a possibility that it will continue in a desultory fashion for, perhaps, five or six or seven years.

Possibly an effort will be made to destroy the Nazi economic system already sprouting in South America. Possibly, the international financial system will come to grief long before a pitched battle will be fought —come to grief in the canyons of New York and in the halls of Congress.

How are Americans preparing to organize when the New Deal at home will have crumbled as the New Deal in Russia crumbled this past month?

Unless constitutional Americans are courageous enough to prepare for the emergency, possibly unconstitutional foreigners will accomplish here what is being accomplished in Europe.

Now is the time to prepare against chaos. Now is the time for realistic editors, orators and religious leaders to point the way.

Rooseveltism is doomed here as surely as Stalinism is doomed in Russia.

Americanism has this one last opportunity to make itself resurgent and effective.

If it succeeds—and we trust it will—it should begin with purifying Congress, with purging from our national life all political grafters, with expelling from our midst foreign propagandists, with exposing men in high places who, for some personal or group advantage, supported, either by word or by silence, the all-aid-for-Britain policy or the all-aid-for-Russia policy.

The unbearable debt situation represented by billions of dollars of non-productive bonds must be corrected simultaneously. Lest we suffer from the ravages of inflation and from closed factories and idle fields, it will be the business of Congress to exercise its right of coining and regulating the value of money, of legislating an annual family wage, of harnessing excessive profits, and of setting up a program of necessary public works through which channel new congressional money can be poured into the country.

Lest another generation will witness the appearance of gold-mongers and war mongers who will gain control of Congress, communications and finance, those responsible for the predicament in which we find ourselves should be apprehended and subjected to equitable punishment.

In this program of preparation, let no animosity gain control. Only the charity and justice of Christ can steer our course to safety.

It is our hope and our prediction that these things will be done—and without Adolf Hitler's help.

More on the *Robin Moor*

ACCORDING to United States officials the *Robin Moor*, purportedly sunk by a German submarine, carried no war contraband.

Now comes a United Press release from New York reading as follows:

"President Arthur R. Lewis of the Seas Shipping Co., with the approval of the State Department, offered public proof late yesterday that the *Robin Moor* torpedoed by a German submarine, carried no contraband.

"Mr. Lewis, whose company operated the *Robin Moor*, invited newspapermen to examine the ship's manifest and 'decide for yourselves' whether any of the 1667 items in its cargo could be called contraband.

" 'None of these items' he said, 'were contraband under the definition of President Roosevelt.'

"The bulk of the 5,263-ton cargo consisted of steel and autos and 98 per cent of it was ultimately destined for British purchasers in British South Africa, the rest for Portuguese East Africa.

"The items coming closest to being contraband, Mr. Lewis said, included 459 motor cars and trucks, 959 50-gallon drums of lubricating oil, 30 15-gallon drums of lubricating oil, 141 cases of shotgun shells, four cases of metallic cartridges, 12 22-caliber sports rifles, and six gas masks for workers in refrigeration plants."

Possibly, the Nazi government might include some of the above mentioned items as contraband.

Comment

Roosevelt's Red Aides

ON JUNE 25th there was bared in the House of Representatives the Communist influences within the Roosevelt Administration culminating in the all-aid-for-Russia policy.

Representative Taber of New York revealed that Leon Henderson had been required to give the names and high salaries of a large number of employes of the National Defense Advisory Commission of which he is head, together with the salaries these persons received before they obtained their present jobs.

Said Mr. Taber:

"Mr. Henderson himself is a man of very considerable ability. That he has been allied with groups whose first interest was not the United States has appeared on several occasions. In the Dies Committee hearings his connection with the Spanish refugee relief outfit was established.

"In the Dies Committee reports there is a statement of the Communist Party fronts, and among them is the Spanish Refugee Relief Committee.

"One of the worst set-ups is the employment of Robert A. Brady, author of *'The Spirit and Structure of German Fascism.'* This is an absolutely Communistic book. It is full of Communism from cover to cover.

"Mr. Brady is one of those birds in the price-fixing outfit under Henderson. He is getting a salary the equivalent of $6,250.

"Then there is Mildred Eadie Brady. Mrs. Brady is employed at $5,650. She is the wife of Robert; she was the business manager of a magazine known as *'Friday,'* which ties right into the Communist front.

"Why the Government of the United States should employ folks who are not cooperating with it, putting them on the payroll, is something that does not appeal to me."

* * *

Representative Mason, of Illinois, Republican member of the Dies Committee, held up before the House a *"yellow sheet,"* which, he said, maligns and deliberately misrepresents and ridicules the Dies Committee and record of the committee.

"This *yellow sheet,*" said Mr. Mason, "is signed by one Hugh Miller, who, I understand, is on the payroll of the Bituminous Coal Commission. It is dated June 13, 1941, 1410 H. Street, N. W., Washington, D. C. It is headed *'Washington Committee for Democratic Action'* — Democratic action as interpreted by, sanctioned by and approved by Stalin and all of his followers. That is the only kind of democratic action this committee stands for. This *yellow sheet* reads in part as follows:

" 'Our old friends, the Dies Committee detective agency extraordinary, are at it again. This time they are spending your, and other taxpayers' money to question Government workers as to their private activities and beliefs.'

"In the light of this *yellow sheet* what else can we expect from Government employes, when Government officials high up have set the example to these employes by ridiculing, maligning and misrepresenting the committee?

"On the letterhead I notice the name of Harry C. Lamberton as counsel for this Washington committee for democratic action, the same Harry C. Lamberton I exposed two and a half years ago as an official for the then League for Peace and Democracy, who when the league was indicted by the Dies Committee for being Communist inspired, Communist controlled, and having Communist objectives, answered by putting on a drive here in the District of Columbia among Government employes for membership.

"It is time this House cleaned house."

* * *

Representative Hoffman, of Michigan, Republican member of the House Labor Committee, scored the Roosevelt Administration for rushing to the aid of Communist Russia.

"The American people," said Representative Hoffman, "have now awakened to find themselves in bed with Joseph Stalin. The Roosevelt diplomacy has finally gotten us into bed with the enemies of progress and liberty.

"We all know that the President's wife, Eleanor Roosevelt, not only entertained Communists at the White House, but that she went before the Dies Committee with Communists, when she knew, or should have known, that the effect of her acts would be to encourage the Communists and to induce others to overlook their treasonable activities."

Defense 'Boom' Is Paying Off

MORE THAN at any time in history, Washington, D. C., is a happy hunting ground for Federal job-seekers.

Even before the national defense "boom," thousands of experts, alleged experts, clerks, stenographers, press agents, and assorted patronage grabbers, had swarmed into the Capital to ensconce themselves in the multitudinous New Deal bureaus and alphabetical agencies.

With the advent of the defense program, however, the influx of new payrollers has been greater than ever.

While no complete record is available on the salaries of these newcomers, it is apparent that many of them are being paid far more than they ever received in private employment.

Illustrating that point, Representative William J. Ditter of Pennsylvania cited the salaries of several employes of the Office of Price Administration and Civilian Supply.

He said that John E. Hamm, a cousin of Leon Henderson, the head of the department, had been appointed deputy administrator at a salary of $8,000 a year. Previously, he said, Mr. Hamm had been employed at the Russel Sage Foundation at $4,500 a year.

Other examples cited by Ditter: Miss Harriet Elliott, associate administrator, will also receive $8,000 annually. Her salary as Dean of Women at North Carolina University was $4,320 a year. Laura May Davis, adviser on consumers' relations, will draw $4,600; her previous position at a junior college paid $2,900.

David Ginsburg, general counsel, is on the payroll at $8,000 a year. On his last job, with the Securities and Exchange Commission he received $5,000. He graduated from Harvard Law School in 1935.

Robert S. Holmes, a senior economist at $5,400 a year, formerly made $4,200 with the Securities and Exchange Commission. Carl H. Neibyl, a senior economist at $4,600 a year, drew $2,200 in his last job as associate professor at Carleton College.

James A. Perkins, now a $5,000 a year economist, received $3,800 for his services at Princeton University. Dickson Beck, who was paid $2,750 annually for lecturing in economics at the University of Pennsylvania, draws $4,600 as senior economist. Constant Southworth, formerly with the State Department at $4,600 a year, will get $7,600. Herbert Wachsmann, having received $3,600 a year in private employment, will be paid $5,600.

Donald Wallace, who as associate professor of economics at Williams College earned $4,725, will receive $6,500. Miss Caroline Ware, who was paid $4,400 a year by Sarah Lawrence College, will receive $6,500 as head economist. She is the wife of Gardner Means, fiscal analyst in the Bureau of the Budget.

Mr. Henderson submitted a budget request for his department of $3,769,462 for the fiscal year 1942. In 1941 his budget was $806,412. Among the requested personnel increases in the various divisions of the department was one for a staff of 163 in the office of the general counsel. Last year that office limped along with a mere 92 employes.

"It should be remembered," commented Representative Ditter on Henderson's request, "that the Office of Price Administration and Civilian Supply has no legislative foundation and can prosecute no one for price schedules that it issues. This was admitted in a memorandum from Mr. David Ginsburg, the general counsel, to Mr. Leon Henderson, dated May 8, 1941 . . . It is hard to see what a legal staff of 163 will do in an agency that has no legal authority and no legal sanction."

Rudolf Hess and the Lord Mayor of Glasgow

NEW DEAL newspapers have tried to bury the Hess incident.

Well should they do so after having advertised it originally as a black-eye for Germany.

In a London dispatch, the *Chicago Tribune* (June 22) said:

"Sir Patrick Dollan, lord provost (mayor) of Glasgow, today challenged the government to deny his statement made a week ago that Rudolf Hess still was an "unrepentent Nazi" and flew to Britain May 10 to spend a couple of days to discuss peace terms and then return to Germany.

"During the debate on Adolf Hitler's onetime deputy fuehrer in parliament yesterday, Undersecretary for Foreign Affairs R. A. Butler characterized Dollan's statement as "merely surmise." Today Dollan said he intends to repeat the Hess story at all War Weapons weeks' rallies. He said it was 'significant that the government did not deny the statements I made'."

Among the crop of unconfirmed rumors reported to the *Tribune* was one to the effect that Hess *spent two weeks in Madrid before flying to England.*

There, it was reported, he met a number of Englishmen who were interested in a peace plan.

NATIONAL WEEKLY

Social Justice

Published by The Social Justice Publishing Co.

Founded 1936 by Father Coughlin

British Morality

OUR GENERATION was taught to believe Jesuits originated the principle, "The end justifies the means."

Now the truth is known. Lord Marley, Deputy Speaker of the House of Lords, welcomed Russia into the ranks of the holy war combatants as a "new ally of Great Britain."

His Lordship said: "If the devil himself fights Hitler, we will take him in as an ally."

Not Jesuits. But British.

Marley spoke at a luncheon of the *American Labor Committee to Aid British Labor* at New York's Hotel Commodore.

The luncheon was attended by more than 400 representatives of the American Federation of Labor and the Congress of Industrial Organizations, who pledged their continued support of British labor and the war effort.

It is regrettable that both the A. F. of L. and the C. I. O. applaud this British immorality expressed by Marley.

It is doubly regrettable that Luigi Antonini, first vice president of the International Ladies Garment Workers Union, contributed $25,000 to support this theory of "alliance with the devil."

Equally regrettable do we note a second contribution of $25,000 for the same cause made by Jacob S. Patofsky, general secretary and treasurer of the Amalgamated Clothing Workers of America.

Most regrettable was the announcement made by Matthew Woll of the A. F. of L., who said that "no division in the ranks of labor will exist in this cause."

Thus, both A. F. of L. and C. I. O. not only applauded the devil's alliance theory promulgated by the Britisher, Lord Marley, but used their organizations to collect money from American labor for all aid to Russia.

Eat Less—and Hate

FROM LONDON comes an urgent appeal made by Lord Woolton, Minister of Food, to the American people to reduce their normal consumption of milk, cream, sugar, tea, canned salmon and canned meat.

The advertised purpose is to enable the surplus thus saved to be sent to England.

If your memory serves you well, you will recollect that during the first World War white flour, sugar and other commodities were rationed to the American people. And the war was made the excuse for the rationing.

Following the war it was discovered that there had been no need for such rationing; that it was a profiteering policy.

However, the rationing did impress upon the American people that they were at war and caused them to join in the yell of "Hang the Kaiser!"

There is no need to ration commodities for the American people today. There is a surplus of goods — particularly of food. And there is no need, however, to create the proper war psychology associated with rationing.

To get us hating Germany is the main motive for all these proposals.

Note for War-Mongering Clerics

THE *Ave Maria* (June 28) — without mentioning names — calls the turn on those war-mongering Catholic clerics who are disturbing the serenity of the laity by their all-aid-for-Britain propaganda.

Here is what our Indiana contemporary says:

"When a Catholic clergyman tells a Catholic audience that the United States has a 'moral obligation' to enter this European war he should indicate the morality and the obligation.

"Every theologian we have read or heard quoted upholds the position that a war of defense against invasion or oppression is a war of duty to be undertaken for the sake of the homeland. Will any clergyman with much or little theology in his background show the Catholics of the United States how their country is invaded or oppressed by any foreign enemy at this moment?

"The statement that Hitler may invade us is an assumption which may call for home defense but not for war effort in a foreign country. As a liberty loving, strong, patriotic people of untold resources, let us make ready to meet Hitler unitedly should Hitler be foolish enough to invade us. He then becomes an aggressor and we will treat him as such.

"We have no moral obligation to attack Hitler in Europe.

"No theologian of any weight will attempt to sustain any such thesis."

Uncle Sam—an Alley Merchant

VARIOUS DRIVES will soon be announced to collect aluminum pots and pans from the housewives of America.

Instead of the old refrain — "Any rags, any bones, any bottles today" — the alley merchants will be shouting, "Any pots, any pans, any kettles today."

A few weeks ago, Harold Ickes blamed industry for a shortage of aluminum.

Every informed person knows that the shortage should be laid on the doorstep of the Government itself. It is the Government who is responsible for the shortage of aluminum, tin, rubber and other essentials.

As for the aluminum and the new "alley merchandising" program, there is more *propaganda* contained in this new venture than anything else.

When aluminum is made into pots, pans and kettles, much of its value is destroyed in that it is difficult to re-roll this processed metal into airplane parts.

We suspected that, sooner or later, Uncle Sammy, under the direction of the present Administration, would find himself in the alley merchandising business. If some humorous soul will supply him with a discarded derby hat that sits atop his ears, the picture will be complete and factual.

The first thing we know Uncle Sammy will be opening up a pawn shop for old jewelry. To be sure, the inevitable three balls will be dangling outside the door.

Zionists Turn to U.S. to Aid Jewish Homeland

ZIONIST leaders report that the United States Government may soon take a direct hand in solving the age-old dispute over Palestine. The Zionists have been deeply troubled by recent developments in the Near East, which seemed to indicate that the British might sacrifice the future development of a Jewish homeland in Palestine in order to enlist the support of the Arabs in the present military campaign.

The British need the Arabs to protect the Suez Canal and the important Mosul oil fields.

Zionists, therefore, have brought pressure on the United States State Department to protect Jewish rights in Palestine. Recently, the Zionists circulated a petition signed by many prominent Jews and non-Jews, including Msgr. John Ryan of the N.C.W.C., asking the Administration to safeguard and to promote the plan of establishing a Zionist State in Palestine.

The American Government has shown a growing inclination to step in and seek to stabilize the situation in the Holy Land.

* * *

The Roosevelt Administration could well afford to re-read the *Crane King Commission Report on Zionism in Palestine*. It will be remembered that President Wilson dispatched a commission from the League of Nations to investigate Zionism in the Holy Land, relative to setting up the Holy Land as a joint British-American mandate.

History records that the commission's report *was missing from the effects of President Wilson* when the United States Senate was considering action on the Holy Land.

The suppression of that report — which reappeared only in 1935 — accomplished the purpose of putting America into Palestinian affairs and preventing the knowledge of many important facts pertaining to Communistic Zionism in Palestine.

There is already a tacit understanding between the British and American governments that no change will be made or undertaken in the fundamental status of the Jewish national home without the consent and approval of the United States State Department.

As former Justice Brandeis was the prime mover in the America-Palestinian plot of 1919; so Brandeis' disciple, Justice Felix Frankfurter, is the prime mover in the present plan of preserving Palestine as a national Jewish homeland.

As a result of the reported "tacit understanding," the United States State Department is thus enabled to exercise what amounts to a veto power over various plans now being urged upon the British Colonial Office to appease Arab sentiment. Through the United States State Department, American Jewry stands now to enjoy a larger measure of influence in the affairs of Palestine than it has ever known before.

* * *

During the last war, the British enlisted the support of the Arabs through the *McMahon-Hussein Agreement*, which the Arabs interpreted as a guarantee of a Pan Arabic State which would include Palestine. At the same time, the British signed the *Balfour Declaration* which promised the Zionist Jews the construction of a national homeland in Palestine and self-government by the Jews.

Caught at the end of the war by this contradiction of policy the British have tried in vain to maintain a balance between the two incompatible and conflicting "pledges."

As the price for their co-operation in the present war, the Arabs have demanded the immediate establishment of a representative government in Palestine, which the Arabs could easily control by virtue of the fact that the Arabs constitute a two-third majority of the population of Palestine.

On the other hand, the Jews have demanded the right of unrestricted immigration in order that they might bring their co-racialists into the country in numbers sufficient to counteract the Arab majority.

* * *

As the theatre of war has been transferred from Central Europe to Asia Minor, bringing war closer to the Suez Canal, the British have enjoyed the loyal support of the Jews of Palestine, especially since many of the Jews resident in Palestine are refugees from Germany and German-occupied countries.

However, the anger of the Arabs has been aroused by the British betrayal of the *McMahon-Hussein pledge*. The Arab dissatisfaction, exploited and increased by *agit-prop*, first expressed itself in the establishment of a pro-Axis regime in Iraq and threatened to spread throughout Pan Arabia to endanger all British possessions in Asia Minor.

In an unsuccessful effort to appease the Arabs and to win their support, London has been urged by British commissioners in Egypt and Arab countries to do two things: First, put into immediate execution the third section of the *White Paper* issued by the Chamberlain government, which promises the establishment of a representative government in Palestine; and second, the creation of an Arab federation of which Palestine, as an Arab-dominated government, would be a part.

Zionists in America whispered within secret circles that steps were actually taken in that direction by the British Colonial Office, a few weeks ago, to insure that the Arab revolt, which broke out in Iran, would not spread to other Arab countries — particularly Palestine, Lebanon and Syria. The plan was abandoned, Zionists report, and the circumstances and conditions suggest that the American Government played an important role in the Zionist crisis.

Presumably, the Roosevelt Administration was put under pressure by wealthy and powerful Zionist groups within the country and, through the State Department, requested the British Colonial Secretary to abandon these plans for the present.

Within diplomatic circles the Zionists report further that they have been assured that under the present intimate relations existing between the United States and Great Britain, London does not "feel itself free" to make any political commitments in the Near East without consulting Washington, at least informally, and receiving Washington's approbation for a political reorganization.

It was promised, moreover, that Washington in turn would not wish to take a position on a matter affecting the future of Palestine without sounding out American Zionist and Jewish leaders.

* * *

The Zionists themselves admit that Washington has already taken these soundings within the last few weeks and that they resulted in the United States putting pressure on London to abandon carrying out the terms of the Chamberlain *White Paper*. The Administration even sounded out Jewish leaders to find out if the Jews would accept territorial compensation in North Africa or elsewhere for abandoning their present hopes of their own independent State in the Holy Land. These feelers, the Jews say, have been curtly and even brusquely rejected.

There the matter stands for the moment, so far as Palestine is concerned; and the British, in their attempt to regain Arab collaboration are not expected to go beyond promising the inclusion of Syria and Lebanon as Arab States in a Pan Arab federation.

This federation has been the dream of every politically-minded Moslem in the Near East for nearly half a century.

At present, the British government cannot afford to create antagonism among either Jews or Arabs, for the defense of Palestine depends upon Arabs and Jews co-operating with the British armies.

Dickstein Has Doubts

ACCORDING to the *Jewish Outlook* (June 20), "Representative Samuel Dickstein (Dem., N. J.), has introduced a resolution calling for appointment of a seven-man House committee to investigate charges of anti-Semites that Jews were attempting to push the United States into war.

"In his resolution Dickstein said: 'Statements were made on the floor of this House that little groups of "international Jewish brethren are attempting to harass the President and the Congress of the United States into plunging us into the European war unprepared," and it is in the interest of the people of the United States to determine the truth or the falsity of such charges. Such charges should be substantiated by competent legal proof and evidence.' "

The most efficient method employable by the Jews to scuttle the charge that "Jews want war" lies in the direction of their control over their own press.

Let every Jewish newspaper headline Jewish opposition to America's entrance into the war and Jewish opposition to Uncle Sam's handshaking with Josef Stalin.

We'll be watching the Jewish newspapers.

In fact, it is our policy to read them as faithfully as we scan the Joe Palooka comic strip.

Comment

Communists Real Sabotage Menace

THE MERE action of closing a consulate, or a group of consulates, and deporting suspected spies and *saboteurs* does not immediately halt espionage or end the peril of sabotage.

Espionage operates in depths which few official Government activities can plumb. Spies will not be driven out of a country simply by suppressing consulates, and propagandists will not cease their *agit-prop*, simply because their recognized propaganda factories have been closed.

It is up to real Americans, and our national Government, *to proceed directly and boldly against all underground and subversive foreign organizations.* We dare not confine our efforts at such "discouragement" simply to Germany and Italy.

The master espionage and sabotage system of all time, one which is endangering this nation's efforts to create an adequate national defense, and which threatens to plunge this country in actual civil war — which operates in factories, mills, fields, schools and even churches — is that which is supported by the Soviet Union and subsidized with Russian gold.

The Nazis are naive, innocent and deluded when compared to Russian Communists and their agents, spies and *saboteurs*. The Russians have operated in America for years, even before the World War I; and they have established an intricate network of *agit-prop*, espionage and sabotage. The Nazis are comparatively new in this business, for no need for this treachery existed before the declaration of war. In fact, the Communists and Nazis have operated together — anything that the Nazis have learned or achieved they owe to the more tricky and their paid agents.

A simple study of the history of sabotage, espionage and propaganda is sufficient to prove the truth of this situation. Although there has been much talk about Japanese espionage, the Japanese are dependent upon the Nazis and Communists for all their information regarding America's preparations for defense. The Russians and Germans frequently hire Japanese agents to operate for them so as to shift the blame for such treacherous actions to the Tokyo government.

The Communists are not as naive as the Nazis; for they do not operate openly through consulates, official news agencies, government-owned travel bureaus, etc. The Communists operate through all kinds of front organizations and dummy movements, established ostensibly for most idealistic aims and patriotic policies. These organizations masquerade as native American and as patriotic movements and operate through an American front.

Thus, the Communists enter the very blood stream of our national life through the most intricate system of inoculation and infection yet devised. The poison created by this virus reaches into the lives of millions of Americans, festers in our Government and poisons hundreds of official and private organizations.

Communism seeks to divide and rule, to set class against class, race against race and religion against religion.

The American people demand official action against the organizations of Russia as well as Italy and Germany. They demand that the Administration take steps to suppress Communist organizations which threaten the security of the nation and block efforts to create an adequate national defense.

But, the Administration must first purge the Government itself of Communists, innocents, sympathizers, fellow travellers and dupes; for, unlike the Nazis, the Communists have succeeded in placing their agents and satellites in high position in the Government and throughout all its agencies and bureaus.

Good Chance to Stab

COL. FRANK KNOX apparently has forgotten President Roosevelt's "stab-in-the-back" excoriation of Mussolini when Italy declared war on France.

The President's bellicose Navy Secretary now recommends the same tactics that his Boss so forcefully scorned and denounced.

In a recent speech Mr. Knox urges that the United States attack Germany *"while Hitler has his back turned upon us."*

Confusion More Confused

BUNDLES for Britain!

Having played upon the pipes of our sympathy, the great organist of propaganda continued opening stop after stop upon the instrument of the human soul in America until our fellow citizens are almost prepared to go to war for the sake of going to war.

Bundles for Britain!

The confused situation which has arisen as a result of this propaganda is no better described than in Henry McLemore's recent humorous article which appeared in the *Detroit Free Press* (July 1).

Here it is:

"New York, June 30 — Bundles for Russia!

"That will be the next call, comrades, so we might just as well start rooting through our old clothes in search of haberdashery that will look smart in Moscow and Kiev.

"I rummaged around in the store room of my house today and was quite surprised to find how much was left after months of contributing to bundles for almost every country that has a navigable river.

" 'The Russians will like this stuff,' I said to myself as I sorted out a raft of coatskis, vestskis, shirtskis, and knickerbockerskis. Downstairs my wife practiced the *Internationale* on the balalaika and gave instructions to the maid to remove the set of Zane Grey from the place of honor in the library and replace it with a drug store edition of Lenin's *Memoirs*.

"Then it dawned on me that perhaps, the Russians wouldn't want the clothes I planned to send them. Wasn't everything I had tinged with capitalism? Take the old pair of gray slacks, for example. I last wore them at Newport when I was covering the America's Cup yacht races, and if there is anything a Russian despises it must be a yacht race. A Communist probably would prefer to freeze to death in his shorts rather than to slip on a pair of pants that had been associated, no matter how vaguely, with such people as the Vanderbilts and the Whitneys.

' ' '

"But I couldn't find it in my heart to worry about this. If Russia wanted to get nice bundles she should have gotten into the war sooner. My best hand-me-downs, and I'm sure your best hand-me-downs, went to the early belligerents, and I think it serves Russia right for hanging around in the hope that the democracies would eventually be played out and she could rob the exhausted. It's just Russia's tough luck that the democracies lasted longer than their good clothes.

"To get a clear picture of the fantastic, ironical twists this war has taken an American only has to figure out where his old clothes are at the present moment.

' ' '

"Take that leather windbreaker. It bounced off to Finland last year. It went with the best wishes of a man who hoped to help Finland in her fight against Russia. Russia was palling around with Germany at that time, and I couldn't forsee that my old windbreaker would finally come upon such days as to have a swastika lead it into battle.

"When I broke up that hound's tooth ensemble by sending the coat to England and the trousers to France, I romantically pictured them as fighting side by side against a common enemy. They were a minor but cheerfully given contribution against Nazi Germany. Now, for all I know, an Englishman in the hound's tooth coat is dodging bullets fired by a Frenchman in the hound's tooth pants.

"If I had known that France was ever going to turn against England I would have sent stuff to France that would have hurt her cause, rather than helped it. Those old patent-leather dancing pumps of mine, for instance. Or that go-both-ways plaid Sherlock Holmes cap I got for a present.

"But this confusion over where to send bundles won't last forever. We won't always have to fret about sending things to friends who turn into enemies before the parcels arrive. There is a very simple way of determining how the battle lines eventually will be drawn. What we must do is to find out what nations have non-aggression pacts between them. They are the ones who in time are going to fight against each other. Countries don't declare war on one another any more. They sign non-aggression pacts and then go to it.

"So, let us make up our bundles and address them to our favorite non-aggressors, with all confidence that they'll be at war before our bundles arrive."

The New Deal Revealed

FACTS are more eloquent than words.

It is a fact that the United States bought many millions of dollars of gold from Russia at a profit to the Russians of $20.00 per ounce.

It is a fact that Russia wants $50-million worth of tools from the United States, now that Germany has attacked Russia.

With the profits made from our New Deal transactions in gold, the Red government of Moscow carries on.

The New Deal Government in America took time by the forelock in preparing for this day. It imposed burdensome taxes upon the American people to purchase Russian gold.

Therefore, it stands revealed more friendly to Russians than to Americans; more friendly to Communists than to Christians.

Behind the War

Nazi-Soviet Clash Is Surprise to Diplomats

EXPLANATIONS by Hitler, Goebbels and von Ribbontrop as to why Nazi Germany unleashed its *blitzkrieg* against Soviet Russia indicate that the diplomats were not as well prepared to justify the war as Nazi militarists were to launch it.

Some weeks ago Viacheslav Molotov, Stalin's ace leg-man, conferred with Hitler at Berchtesgaden and heard the Fuehrer's latest demands.

Immediately after Molotov's departure for Moscow, Hitler summoned his military advisers. Although Nazi diplomats were opposed to declaring war on the Soviet, because of the bad effect such a move would have on other potential allies of Germany; the military heads pointed out that Stalin had continually double-crossed Germany despite several warnings.

Russia, they said, had failed to abide by the Commu-Nazi trade agreements, offering the lame excuse that Russia's domestic needs were too great, and production and transportation too inadequate, to supply Germany with the goods promised.

Further, the U.S.S.R., like the United States and Great Britain, had helped precipitate the costly Balkan campaign by urging Balkan nations to resist German demands. At the same time the Soviet, aided by imports from the United States, had embarked on a gigantic industrial and agricultural program which Germany feared was a certain sign of Soviet war preparation.

These points seem to be well taken, but regardless of what view one entertains concerning them, it must be admitted that the Soviet was playing the role of an opportunist throughout all of its collaboration with Germany.

Stalin had taken advantage of every Nazi aggression to grab territory for himself. He occupied half of Poland, invaded Finland, subjugated Esthonia, Latvia and Lithuania and took a slice out of Roumania. It is a good hunch that he was waiting for an auspicious moment to double-cross Hitler. For even while co-operating with the Fuehrer he was permitting Britain and America to woo him with favors.

* * *

Observers now say that the German generals — von Brumuchitsch, Lizst and Gourian — emphasized to Hitler the Nazi need of Russia's raw materials and armament facilities to offset United States aid to Britain. General Lizst reportedly insisted on an immediate attack on the Soviet, pointing out that Summer was the best season for the operation of *panzer* divisions, and that within six weeks the conquest would be complete. Germany could then appropriate the Soviet's vast harvest.

Gen. Lizst, supposedly, based his confidence in quick victory on a belief that the Soviet army was over-mechanized; that every Red soldier operates some kind of a machine, but does not really understand it and cannot repair it; that the Soviet's facilities for repairing and replacing broken or worn parts of machinery are inadequate; that there is a scarcity of competent Russian technicians.

All of these conditions mean that mechanical trouble with Russian tanks, airplanes and other equipment would, for purposes of combat, be as disastrous as destruction.

The German generals also are said to have emphasized that Stalin is disliked by his army, because he has liquidated the Soviet's best militarists in purges. These wholesale murders of military leaders have created hatred and distrust for the Red Czar among his soldiers.

Adding further strength to the generals' argument for immediate attack were the facts that the Reich's pact with Turkey would prevent the Soviet fleet from using the Dardanelles; and that Nazi troops already had been concentrated in Finland, Poland, Bessarabia, Roumania, Bulgaria and all along the Russo-German border.

German intelligence officers pointed out that spies had been placed advantageously in the Russian subjugated nations, in the Ukraine, in Odessa and Baku.

Aside from all these favorable reports, the German generals seemed almost certain that revolution would rend Red Russia soon after war began.

* * *

The astonishing suddenness with which Hitler's decision was carried out caught both London and Washington by complete surprise.

Only a few days before, Sir Stafford Cripps, British Ambassador to Russia, had returned to England with the information that Russia and Germany were "co-operating 100 per cent" and that any further attempts to wean Stalin from Hitler would be useless. Cripps was convinced that Stalin would be forced to yield to Hitler's demands because he was not prepared to fight.

Although rumors of an impending clash had circulated in Washington, the actual attack by Germany was a shock. Russian diplomats in Washington had failed to inform the State Department of the true situation concerning Germany's demands and the Soviet's intention of resisting them.

War Correspondent Tells of London in Ruins

FROM LONDON comes a dispatch (June 15) by Drew Middleton which throws into bold relief the suffering of English civilians.

"Jagged scars gouged by explosives and seared by fire on the face of London have increased five-fold in the past three months, testifying to the effectiveness of the *luftwaffe's* campaign to smash the nerve center of the British Empire," the correspondent writes.

"Last March it was possible to walk five or 10 blocks through many sections and see no damage.

"Today there is a heap of rubble around almost every corner — a house missing from the even lines of dwellings along almost every street.

"The heart of the vast, sprawling metropolis, the City of London financial district, the manufacturing district South of the Thames, Fleet Street, the fashionable West End shopping district, Whitehall, the Temple, even residential districts such as Kensington and Chelsea — all have been battered.

"It is useless to say life goes on 'as usual' for Londoners.

"Thousands have lost their homes; still other thousands have had their lives forever changed.

"Everyone has a story of bomb wreckage in or near his home. Familiar faces are missing from behind counters in restaurants and from offices.

"There are appalling stories. One was of the air raid warden faced with the decision whether to turn on the water, probably drowning 150 persons trapped under a blazing building or let them burn to death. He turned on the water.

"There is respect for the menace of the *luftwaffe* — even if no fear — that was not known before.

"Pall Mall was empty save for a policeman and a sailor when the moon rose last Sunday night.

"Few people here sleep in beds now when the moon is full. Shelters are crowded as never before.

"In the crowded East End the novelty of life in the shelters has worn off — it has become not an incident but life itself.

"Thousands literally are living underground — working, eating and playing above ground, but taking to the shelters when darkness falls.

"The impact of the city's ruins on a returning traveler, away for a few weeks, is terrific. Theaters where remembered plays were seen are gaunt skeletons. Long staid rows of Victorian houses are gone.

"Last Winter, like an aging beauty fighting the ravages of time, London busily swept up the debris, threw wooden fronts over damaged buildings, and replaced glass with cardboard after bomb raids.

"It is not so easy now. It will take months, perhaps years, to catalogue the extent of damage. It may be a decade before buildings blown out and burned out can be restored."

* * *

Modern war obsoletes all the stories read about war in history books. Today, wars are fought against civilians. It is the object of the enemy to bomb industrial plants, to disable industrial workers, to *blitzkrieg* workers' homes.

In comparison with World War No. 1, according to available figures, few soldiers have been killed.

Nowadays, there are no battlefields. On the contrary, there are battle cities.

Nowadays, there is less loyalty than existed hitherto. We have been introduced to the fifth column. It was the streamlined Trojan horse tactics which undermined France, Jugoslavia, Norway — the same tactics which, not unlikely, will bring about the downfall of Russian Communism within three months.

What happened abroad can happen here —particularly when millions of our people are aware that all this *is an international bankers' war!*

ONLY SIX MORE WEEKS!

BUT YOU STILL HAVE TIME TO ENTER CONTEST AND WIN

In six more weeks the great "JUBILEE" Contest of SOCIAL JUSTICE Magazine will be over—and thousands of dollars in cash prizes will be sent to 109 winners in many states of the Union.

But there's even a more important point than that to remember:

On July 28 the second or "GOLDEN OPPORTUNITY" Period of the contest will expire — the period in which every new subscription you obtain merits 30,000 Extra Bonus Votes.

This fact should mean INSTANT ACTION on the part of every person now entered in the Contest, as well as on the part of those readers who have intended to enter but have delayed their start.

Remember, this is still a young Contest. There is no telling at present writing who will win the Grand Prize of $2,500.00; the Second Prize of $1,500.00; the Third Prize of $1,250.00, or any of the remaining 105 cash prizes. No telling at all.

A contestant who is on top today might be in the middle next week when some live-wire passes him like the tortoise passed the hare.

A contestant who is hovering around the bottom today might, through a spurt on the part of himself or his friends, be on top of the heap next week. The only thing that can definitely be said at this writing is that those who persevere in showing the highest activity will romp off with the prizes. That is true in contests as well as in everything else in life.

Suppose you have not yet entered the Contest. Perhaps you are saying to yourself: "Oh, it is too late for me to enter now and win."

In that, you are wrong. It is entirely possible for a person to enter this great race today and win the Grand Prize of $2,500.00 or any of the big cash awards. There are three big contest periods left in which a hustler can clean up. But — to clean up, you MUST enter.

So, how about using the two coupons in today's announcement for entering? The Entry Blank will net you 5,000 opening free votes. The "Start-Off" coupon, with your first subscription, will yield you 20,000 more free votes. Then you receive credit for your first subscription according to Regular Vote Schedule.

This great Contest is being conducted as a tribute to Father Coughlin during this, his Jubilee Year. You want to take part, we know.

Then do so by entering this week. As soon as your entering coupons are received we shall rush you subscription books and all necessary materials for your drive.

Make it this week.

Address All Contest Mail to:

"CONTEST MANAGER"

Social Justice

Royal Oak • Michigan

YOU CAN WIN THESE SPECIAL PRIZES

The Special Prizes offered for this "GOLDEN OPPORTUNITY" Period of the great "JUBILEE" Contest afford you a wondrous chance to strike for some quick money.

Whether or not you are entered — YOU CAN WIN THEM — if you do a bit of scampering about. If you are already entered — go out and close some "deals" you have had "on the fire" for new subs.

If you are not yet entered, you can enter right TODAY, and win one of these Specials. Remember there are five of them:

First Special Prize	**$150.00**
Second Special Prize	**80.00**
Third Special Prize	**70.00**
Fourth Special Prize	**60.00**
Fifth Special Prize	**40.00**

ALL OF THESE AWARDS ARE TO BE GIVEN ON A BASIS OF NEW SUBSCRIPTION MONEY TURNED IN DURING THIS SECOND CONTEST PERIOD — FROM JULY 1 TO JULY 28.

And also please remember —

Every Contestant will receive a commission of TEN PER CENT on all business turned in during the entire contest — but, winners of grand prizes do NOT receive commission and prizes too.

IT'S NOT WHEN YOU ENTER THAT COUNTS — BUT WHAT YOU DO

THESE NEW CONTESTANTS--AND YOU--STILL HAVE TIME TO WIN

This week's list of contestants in no way shows a higher vote credit than merely the entrance credit of 5,000 Votes, the "Start-Off" subscription credit of 20,000 Votes and the Regular Vote Schedule credit. Subsequent printings of the names will show the vote credit more accurately.

This list is rushed into print in order that you may see whether or not one of your friends is in the Contest. If so, help him or her to win — and start to help right away.

It is safe to assume that YOUR city, town or community is NOT YET REPRESENTED on the list of contestants. What should this mean to you?

It should indicate unmistakably to you that YOU SHOULD ENTER AT ONCE — WHILE YOU HAVE YOUR HOME TERRITORY TO YOURSELF. There is $2,500.00 in cash waiting. Why not for you?

Next week we shall print more names. You can fill in right now the two coupons on this page, send them in and — next week —

YOUR NAME WILL BE ON THE LIST!

CONNECTICUT

Tom Collins, Greenwich 35,000
William Hudner, Waterbury 35,000

ILLINOIS

Mrs. George A. Gonwa, Chicago 35,000
Michael J. Hoffman, Chicago 35,000

MASSACHUSETTS

Miss Hannah M. Lynch, Bridgewater 35,000

MICHIGAN

Lucille Mehring, Detroit 35,000

MINNESOTA

P. Elbers, Marshall 35,000
Mrs. Jos. A. Glynn, Janesville 35,000
Frank Huonder, St. Paul 35,000

MISSOURI

Jos. W. Davis, Webster 35,000

NEBRASKA

Mrs. J. A. Shearman, Omaha 35,000

NEW YORK

Miss B. Molloy, Astoria, L. I. 35,000
John Neville, Bronx 35,000
Miss Mary H. Owens, Flushing 35,000
Mabel Peck, Albany 35,000
Stephan Sticher, New York City 35,000
Edward J. Wright, Brooklyn 35,000

OHIO

Mrs. E. W. Leppla, University Heights 35,000
Mrs. B. Menkhaus, Norwood 35,000
Max Richard Schneider, Toledo 35,000

OREGON

J. R. Waterbury, Long Creek 35,000

PENNSYLVANIA

Felix Fava, Pittsburgh 35,000
Ann McGrath, Philadelphia 35,000
Mrs. Esther C. Smith, Coalport 35,000
Mrs. John Sullivan, Garrett Hill 35,000

RHODE ISLAND

Fred T. Smith, Providence 35,000

WISCONSIN

Susan Hahn, Grafton 35,000
Joseph Wm. Rebholz, Milwaukee 35,000

RULES OF THE CONTEST

1. Any man or woman over 16 years of age, excepting employees or close relatives of employees of SOCIAL JUSTICE Magazine may become a contestant. The management reserves the right to reject objectionable nominations.

2. Any contestant may enter from the district in which he or she resides or from the district in which he or she is employed.

3. Contestants are not confined to their own district in which to obtain votes and subscriptions, but may take orders anywhere, and anyone may assist them. Contestants must make a cash report during each of the final two weeks of the contest.

4. Votes are not transferable from one active contestant to another active contestant. Contestants cannot withdraw in favor of other active contestants; nor can contestants give or transfer subscriptions to another contestant.

5. Votes will be issued on paid-in-advance subscriptions (new or renewal) for SOCIAL JUSTICE, including nomination coupons and free votes.

6. A NEW subscriber is one who was not taking SOCIAL JUSTICE by mail when the contest was announced. Votes are issued, subject to verification. Contestants who turn in old (or renewal) subscriptions marked "new" may forfeit votes received on such subscriptions.

7. No statement, assertion or promise, either written or verbal, made by any of the solicitors, agents, contestants or any other person, other than published in SOCIAL JUSTICE, will be recognized by the publishers or the contest management.

8. It is distinctly understood and agreed that all contestants will be responsible for all moneys collected, and that they will remit such amounts in full on schedule at frequent intervals, or on demand to the contest department.

9. Prizes are to be delivered to the winners as soon as the official judges announce the results of the contest. In case of a tie for any prizes the tying contestants will receive duplicate prizes or their equivalents.

10. The publishers reserve the right to place additional prizes on the list.

11. A cash commission of 10 per cent on all business done is guaranteed every participant. Cash commissions will be paid each candidate at the end of the Second Period and at the close of the contest. However, cash commissions paid will be charged against whatever grand prize the contestant may win, and must be liquidated or deducted from the final grand prize at the end. Contestants may not deduct commissions before sending in subscription money.

12. The contest opens at once and closes on August 26, 1941.

13. Any questions or controversies that may arise are to be settled by the Contest Manager, and his decision will be final and conclusive.

14. In case of typographical or other error, it is understood that neither the publisher nor the Contest management shall be held responsible, except for the necessary correction of same.

15. In accepting entrance, all candidates agree to abide by all the rules and conditions named; and to abide by the decisions of the judges as final.

Here's What Winners Get

FIRST GRAND PRIZE	$2,500
Second Grand Prize	1,500
Third Grand Prize	1,250
Fourth Grand Prize	750
Fifth Grand Prize	650
Sixth Grand Prize	550
Seventh Grand Prize	400
Eighth Grand Prize	300
100 Additional Grand Prizes	1,000
27 Special Cash Prizes	1,100
TOTAL CASH PRIZES	$10,000

Schedule of Regular Votes

ON PREPAID SUBSCRIPTIONS TO

Social Justice Magazine

Subscriptions	Votes
6 months ($1.50)	4,000
1 year ($3.00)	10,000
2 years ($6.00)	30,000

The above are Regular Votes and will maintain the same values on both new and renewed subscriptions throughout the Contest.

BONUS VOTES

On each NEW subscription (2 years or 1 year), the following bonuses will be allowed:

30,000 EXTRA Votes from July 1 to July 28.
25,000 EXTRA Votes from July 29 to August 11.
20,000 EXTRA Votes from August 12 to August 26.

Extra or Bonus Votes are issued and credited only after conclusion of the Contest.

HURRY THESE COUPONS BACK!

"START OFF" Subscription Coupon

(Good for 20,000 Votes)

Return this Coupon to the Contest Manager with your first yearly subscription payment ($3.00), either old or new, and you will receive 20,000 Votes, in addition to the Votes allowed on Regular Vote Schedule.

Name of subscriber _____

Address _____

City or Town _____

State _____

(Your own subscription or renewal will count.)

This Coupon accompanied by the Entry Blank and your first yearly subscription (your own or somebody else's) will start you in the great Contest with 25,000 Votes, plus the Regular Votes on the subscription as shown in the Regular Vote Schedule. Only one of these Coupons will be credited to a contestant.

ENTRY BLANK

(Good for 5,000 Votes)

_____ 1941

Contest Manager,
SOCIAL JUSTICE,
Royal Oak, Michigan.

Please enter my name as a Contestant in your "JUBILEE" Contest. I understand this Blank filled out and sent in will entitle me to 5,000 Votes and that my first yearly subscription, old or new, will entitle me to 20,000 Votes.

My Name Is _____

My Address Is _____

City or Town _____ *State* _____

ONLY ONE OF THESE COUPONS WILL BE CREDITED TO A CONTESTANT.

Dr. Curran's Address

Thousands Reaffirm Loyalty to Principles

COMMEMORATION of Father Coughlin's Silver Jubilee, at numerous celebrations throughout the country as well as at the services held at the Royal Oak Shrine of the Little Flower, gave thousands an opportunity to reaffirm their loyalty to the Christian principles which the Radio Priest has always taught with such forthrightness.

Speaking at the big Brooklyn communion breakfast in Columbus Club on June 29, the Rev. Edward Lodge Curran, Ph.D., president of the International Catholic Truth Society, said:

"The Catholic priesthood is the perpetuation of the priesthood of Jesus Christ throughout the world. Every Catholic priest is called upon to be an *Alter Christus:* "another Christ."

"In his life before the altar, and among the people, the Catholic priest performs the functions of Christ.

"Christ celebrated the first sacrifice of the Mass in the little upper chamber of Jerusalem on Holy Thursday night. The Catholic priest celebrates Mass every morning at the altar of his parish church.

"Christ distributed Holy Communion to those who loved Him. The Catholic priest distributes Holy Communion to the faithful at the altar rail.

"Christ forgave sins. In the name of Christ, and with the power of Christ, the Catholic priest pronounces the words of absolution in the confessional.

"Christ moved amongst the poor and ministered to them. The Catholic priest must ever be a friend of the poor and a defender of the downtrodden.

"Christ defended the rights of God against the tyranny of an ancient Caesar. The Catholic priest must defend the rights of God against the Caesars of our own today.

"Christ, Who is God, died upon the cross for the salvation of all mankind. The Catholic priest must save the world through the teachings and the sacrifice and the sacraments of Christ.

"No crisis has ever occurred in the history of the world without the presence and leadership of some great priest on the side of the people and on the side of God.

"It was a great priestly leader, Pope Leo I, who protected the people of Italy from the pagan march and pagan scourge of Attila, the Hun.

"It was a great priestly leader, St. Francis of Assisi, who ministered to the poor in the midst of a civilization that was rotting through riches.

"It was a great priestly leader, St. Benedict, the Monk, who made every monastery of his Order an oasis of Christianity and civilization and culture in a desert world.

"It was a great priestly leader, St. Thomas Aquinas, who assembled the truths of reason and the truths of faith into a philosophic unity that has never been equalled by the greatest scientific minds of any age or any generation.

"It was a great priestly leader, Archbishop Langton, who defied the pagan totalitarianism of King John, of England, and who gave to the world that manifesto of freedom which is known as the *Magna Charta.*

"It was a great priestly leader, St. Robert Bellarmine, who preached the basic doctrines of Christian democracy upon which our *Declaration of Independence* and Federal *Constitution* have been founded.

"It was a great priestly leader, Pope Leo XIII, who denounced the pagan avarice of the Nineteenth Century and who uttered a call for Christian social justice throughout this world.

"And it was a great priestly leader, in our own day and age, who reinvigorated the social justice teachings of Pope Leo XIII, at a time when pagan avarice and atheistic indifference had almost succeeded in wiping away the memory of the Catholic Labor Encyclicals from the minds of men. I refer to our beloved Jubilarian, the Rev. Charles E. Coughlin, of Detroit.

* * *

"We are proud of the fact that it was a Catholic priest who sounded the call for social justice in America and who brought a knowledge of the *Labor Encyclicals* into every household, Catholic and non-Catholic, throughout our land.

"The leadership of Father Coughlin in the field of social justice, his defense of the poor and the oppressed, his demand that the workers of America be paid a living wage, his denunciation of the money changers in the temple — these alone entitle him to the eternal gratitude of the common people of the United States of America.

"The teachings of Pope Leo XIII and Pope Pius XI on the subject of social justice were gathering dust on library shelves when Father Coughlin's voice infused light and strength and meaning into their pronouncements. He it was who followed the leadership of Pope Leo XIII and Pope Pius XI in demanding a family living wage for every household in America. He it was who followed the leadership of Pope Leo XIII and Pope Pius XI in denouncing the international bankers, whose god is gold, and whose flag is the dollar sign. He it was who followed the leadership of Pope Leo XIII and Pope Pius XI in arousing the people and the clergy of the Catholic Church to the necessity of translating Christ's doctrines on social justice into action. Because of that, his fame can never die. Because of that, his merit in eternity is enormous.

"It is, however, not merely for his great leadership and his great work in the field of social justice that we are gathered to honor him today. We honor him because in every phase of his priestly career he has always proven loyal to his priesthood.

"While his voice was sounding over the air waves to millions, his hands were raised in the act of absolution and of benediction over the heads of his parishioners. He has been true to the Mass. He has been true to the pulpit. He has been true to Catholic education. He has been true to Catholic charity. He has been true to every priestly duty imposed upon him.

"We are proud to have been his friends throughout the long years of his radio activity. Today, we send a prayer of gratitude to the Saintly Bishop Gallagher who once stood beside our Jubilarian and who defended him against the world. We are proud to have had the oportunity of participating in his great crusade for social justice.

"We are proud to have taken sides with him in his great effort to rid this country of the Communistic termites which infest the halls of the United States Government, from top to bottom.

* * *

"On the occasion of this Jubilee, we, who still have freedom of speech and freedom of criticism, should dedicate our efforts to the cause of Christianity throughout the world. Through the power of example, through the medium of propaganda, and with the grace of God, we must make this nation of ours a Christian nation.

"Despite 1,900 years of Christianity, the world is not yet a Christian world. Despite 165 years of our national life, this nation is not a Christian nation. This nation cannot be called a Christian nation as long as over 50 per cent of the American people profess no belief in organized religion whatsoever.

"We must bring Christian principles of education into the public school system of this country. We must bring Christian principles of honesty and integrity into the political system of this country. We must bring Christian principles of social justice into the economic system of this country.

"We must rid this country of the tyranny of pagan leadership. We must repudiate those leaders who betray their anti-Christianity by consorting with the godless regime in Soviet Russia.

"We want no union — now or ever — with Soviet Russia or Nazi Germany or Imperialistic England.

"We must oppose, fearlessly and firmly, any pagan attempt to plunge this country into the fires of imperialistic warfare abroad. By pledging ourselves to Christianity we shall be giving to our beloved Jubilarian what he would want from us most of all on this day which commemorates his priestly leadership.

"Our assemblage here today — and the assemblage of similar spiritual meetings throughout this country — is the answer to those who have done their best to humiliate him and to conquer him. The greatest cross he has had to bear is the unkind criticism which fell from lips that should at least have been silent with Christian charity. The greatest hurt within the framework of his life has been the desertion of those who should have stayed with him in the household of the faith.

"Our assemblage today, we hope, will make up to him for the desertion of those who should have been with him in the household of the faith.

"The chorus of congratulations which Father Coughlin's silver anniversary has aroused everywhere throughout this country will drown out the hateful cries and the slanderous jeers of his enemies. We pray that God's blessing will descend again upon his priesthood and preserve him for at least 25 more years to come. We who know him and we who love him will continue to watch with him in the present Garden of Gethsemani. We shall go up with him, if need be, to the Golgotha of persecution. For we know that in God's good time we shall walk with him and rejoice with him in the garden of eternal victory."

Comment

Fr. Curran Speaker At Peace Mass

ADDRESSING 7,000 persons in attendance at a Peace Mass celebrated by Archbishop Beckman in Loras Stadium at Dubuque, Iowa, on June 22, Dr. Curran, who is director of the International Catholic Truth Society's Anti-War Crusade, delivered a stirring plea for peace.

Said Father Curran:

"We are grateful to God for the past 165 years of national freedom and national independence. Out of our national freedom and national independence has arisen our national peace.

"As long as we as a nation have remained true to the foreign policy of George Washington, a policy of friendliness towards all nations and favoritism towards none, a policy expressed in the Washingtonian warning against all foreign political entangling alliances, for so long have we secured the respect and admiration of foreign powers and for so long have we been freed from the deceitful diplomacy, the racial hatreds, the economic imperialism and the pagan philosophy which have made the continents of Europe and Asia and Africa the spawning ground of savage warfare from the beginning of time.

"We are mindful that nearly a quarter of a century ago we violated that Washingtonian principle of national freedom and national independence in our foreign relationships. We are mindful that our participation in the first World War, constituted a grave and grievous violation of the foreign policy of George Washington, the most American President who has ever resided in the White House. We are also mindful of the row upon row of white crosses, kissed by the sun, washed by the rain and bleached by the snow, that mark the graves of American boys who died in vain. To them can be attributed no blame for the vanity and inutility of their death. To them, the dear dead in Flanders Field, the dear dead in Arlington Cemetery, the dear dead beneath white crosses around the world, we pay our respect, our honor and our love, today and all days, for the sacrifice which they made.

"And while they died, their buddies who lived — some with broken bodies, some with mangled minds — lived to see those ideals scrapped and scorned by the deceitful diplomacy, the racial hatreds, the economic imperialism and the pagan philosophy which betrayed the American people into the last World War, and which seeks to betray the American people into the World War today.

"As Catholic American citizens our hearts go out to the common people of all the world. There is nothing wrong with the common people of England. There is nothing wrong with the comon people of Germany. There is nothing wrong with the common people of France or Ireland, or Poland, or Spain, or Russia, or Italy, or Greece, or Yugoslavia, or any other place beneath God's sun.

"The common people of the world do not want much. They want food. They want clothing. They want shelter. They want a chance to work. They want a chance to worship God in accordance with their conscience. They want a chance to build a home and raise a family. They want a chance to give their children greater educational and economic opportunities than they themselves possessed in life. They want a chance to live in peace and amity with all their neighbors.

"The common people of the world do not want war. They do not want bloodshed. They do not want disaster. Their judgment is not solicited by those who declare wars. Their opinion is not requested by those who make succeeding peace treaties the origin of succeeding wars.

"But it is the common people of the world who must shed their blood and bruise their bodies and empty their homes and sacrifice their possessions in the prosecution of war. It is the common people of the world who are hurled against one another in the titanic struggle for pagan power and pagan wealth and pagan gain.

"And while our hearts go out to the common people of all the world, as Catholic American citizens our hearts should go out to the common people of America, our fellow-American citizens, first of all. As Catholic American citizens we must see to it that the common people of America do not become the victims of war-minded statesmen and war-mongering propagandists here in the United States of America. As Catholic American citizens we must pray and work for peace. As Catholic American citizens we must be dedicated to the sacred and patriotic task of keeping these United States of America out of war.

"The task of keeping the United States of America out of war is the most patriotic obligation that can be assumed by American leaders and American citizens today.

"Freedom of speech and freedom of the press and freedom of assemblage and freedom of criticism have been curtailed, in these days of peace, by official and unofficial tyrants who love Europe more than America, and who are moving heaven and earth to betray us into the maelstrom of Mars. American speakers who plead for peace have already been smeared and slandered in the public press, have already been refused rental of public halls, have already been denied the privilege of speaking for peace. With the advent of war every one of these freedoms would be summarily destroyed and everyone of these speakers for peace would be incarcerated in a concentration camp.

"Our entrance into the present World War would mean the economic collapse of America, the destruction of private property the abolition of private enterprise and the establishment of State Socialism side by side with totalitarian tyranny. Legislation has already been introduced in Washington providing for the confiscation of all property, real and personal, tangible and intangible. Taxes that are confiscatory in nature and that beat down most heavily upon the bent back of the poorer citizens of America, have already been announced in Washington. With the advent of war the abolition of private property would become complete and the juggernaut of confiscatory taxes would crush this freedom-loving people into a race of slaves.

"Our entrance into the present World War would mean the destruction of the Christian family, the basic unit of civilization and the nucleus of national freedom. For this time we are told, in an unofficial article written in a widely circulating magazine, by the wife of a prominent Washington official, that women as well as men should be conscripted to serve the State.

* * *

"Around this altar of peace and in the midst of this Mass for peace we are gathered today in the full realization of our citizenship and of our Catholicism. Our patriotic duty is clear and imperative. We must protect the political and economic and domestic and national freedoms of America against the threat to destroy them by participating in foreign warfare.

"We shall make every effort and every sacrifice to build up the defenses of America — on land, at sea, and in the air — for the defense of America in America. We shall defend our country against any invasion by any foreign power against the continental, insular or territorial possessions of the United States of America.

"We shall defend our country against any internal power, either from the right or from the left, that reaches out its hands to destroy our present constitutional form of American Democracy. But we shall not fight for foreign causes upon foreign battlefields. We want no *Union Now* — or ever. We want to go our way, the American way in America, forever."

The Federal Debt

PRELIMINARY figures indicated that the Federal deficit for the fiscal year ending June 30 would be approximately $5-billion.

This makes a total deficit of $32-billion for the last 10 years — eight of them New Deal years.

With the problems of an adequate national defense occupying the attention of the nation, all talk of "balancing the budget" has been relegated to the background.

The emphasis is on appropriations and expenditures. Even with the tremendous new tax levies soon to be enacted, the deficit for the 1942 fiscal year will be well over $7-billion.

The total national debt already is over the $50-billion mark and by the end of the war may reach $100-billion or more.

We fail to understand how a national deficit can continue to exceed national income for any considerable time without leading to insolvency or inflation or both.

In view of the astronomical figures of defense and war spending, it seems almost ridiculous to call for economies which would save a few million dollars, but Treasury Secretary Morgenthau has said it is easily possible to save $1-billion a year in non-defense items. That would approximately equal the interest on the national debt.

* * *

But there has been little if any retrenchment. Government agencies having no connection with the national defense program have not only failed to effect economies, but have increased their personnel and their costs of operation.

Projects which would not be approved in normal times are being launched as "defense" activities. One case in point is the St. Lawrence Waterway. However desirable and beneficial the project might be, it is taking a tricky advantage of its opponents to try and put it over now in the guise of "defense."

For one thing, it would require three or four years for completion; and for another, it would divert money, materials and men sorely needed in the production of more vital defense equipment.

Administration planners are exploiting the war emergency, and the unanimous approval of strong national defense, to secure acceptance of pet projects not strictly associated with national defense.

Letters

SOCIAL JUSTICE aims to make this page a free forum and readers' opinions are invited. Naturally the views expressed are not always those of this magazine. We cannot guarantee that contributions sent to SOCIAL JUSTICE can be returned to the writers. Letters not signed with the writer's name and address cannot be considered, although the name will not necessarily be used We reserve the right to publish all letters received unless contrary instruction is specifically given.

Sees Through Empire's Racket

TO THE EDITOR:

Great Britain did not fight when her interests were attacked in Manchuria, Ethiopia, Spain, China —she kept neutral when her ships were sunk during the civil war in Spain in 1936.

The present war-mongers in America deceived the American people by telling them the Communists in Spain were the "loyalists." They even took up subscriptions for the Loyalists' cause.

Great Britain refused to feed her former ally, France, because she would not keep on fighting—the French could not run out like the British — nor could the Belgians whom the British denounced, and King Leopold was called a traitor and just recently an English court acquitted them — but that is to save their own face like Dunkirk, Crete, Greece, etc.

Secretary of State Hull denounces France for joining hands with Germany, after we have forced France into Germany's hands by permitting or collaborating with the British to starve France.

They speak of the freedom of the seas. Whose freedom? Now, they are alarmed that the Frenchmen are fighting what they call the Free-French and British. Who has instigated this Free French to fight the French government? Anyone who does not see eye to eye with the British and permit the British to starve, enslave or willing to fight for the British are denounced as "enemies."

What have the English and the U. S. State Department done to prevent the brothers of Spain from murdering each other? That was different—it is not the British.

They did not worry about the freedom of the seas then — nor about the starving people of Spain —we destroyed our farm products then—now we must sacrifice and go under-nourished for dear Great Britain that she can sell it back to us.

—S.A.

Dayton, Ohio

Need American Congress

TO THE EDITOR:

United States Government officials have requested five of the leading automobile manufacturers to withdraw "immediately" the recently announced increase in the price of their cars.

How can the administration reconcile this policy toward American business men with its own policy of paying tremendous profits to foreign corporations by purchasing at $35 an ounce, billions of dollars worth of gold that cost these foreign firms from $4 to $20.67 an ounce?

What kind of Americanism is it that pays profits as high as 800 per cent to foreigners at the expense of 135-million Americans, yet which harasses its own businessmen in a highly competitive industry for raising its prices a paltry 2 per cent or thereabouts on its cars?

The cost of mining gold in the Transvaal, South Africa, British owned, is less than $4 an ounce. Our President and Congress, whom you and I elect and pay to serve in our behalf permit the Treasury Department to pay $35 an ounce to these foreign corporations for gold costing them $4 an ounce. One million ounces of Transvaal gold, $4-million, buys $35-million worth of the finest American interest-bearing bonds or stocks. Can we sell any of this gold at eight times its cost to us? We couldn't even get what we paid for it!

No wonder, as recently stated by Mr. McNutt, 43-million Americans are undernourished! We are filling foreign pockets and emptying our own. All America needs is 531 red-blooded American Congressmen.

—J.P.

Malden, Mass.

Put 'em on Record

TO THE EDITOR:

May I quote from the *Chicago Tribune* editorial of June 16 *"Cheese in the War Making"?*

"Lord Woolton, the British food minister, has asked for a reduced American milk and cream consumption and the American war propagandists have advocated creamless days."

We are going to have gasless days; milk and creamless days; fuelless days; cheeseless days; butterless days; and many other kinds of days to make us war conscious for the British Empire.

Only a few years ago these very *touts* were telling us there was too much food; too many factories; too many workers we must divide the work; our frontiers are gone—suddenly we find the same Congressmen who told us these things saying that we must build a St. Lawrence Waterway for the tremendous business after the war. We lost our sea-coast trade. We will lose our internal trade with a St. Lawrence Waterway.

During the greatest American war, the depression of 1932-39, the American farmer and worker had workless days; confiscation days; poverty days; eatless days; strike days; sabotage days; and the same group did not do anything for us. Great Britain then asked her citizens to withdraw their gold and balances in America; sell American securities and *Buy British.*

Let us find out how many shares Great Britain has in our aviation industry and other industries, and who are the American owners, that Americans must forego their liberty for the British Empire.

Congress should go on record against a *Union Now* and put the traitors on record.

Let us be Americans for American defense—like the British are for British Empire defense.

—Social Justice Subscriber

Milwaukee, Wis.

Propaganda 'Old Stuff'

TO THE EDITOR:

British propaganda hasn't changed much since the World War. The German people are still "Huns," unworthy of any consideration from the Judaic overlords and their stooges such as Churchill. And were it not for Chancellor Hitler, the German people would today be the "hewers of wood and the drawers of water" serving their Jewish taskmasters as prescribed by the *Talmud.*

In November, 1938, after almost 6 years of so-called "persecution" (restriction is the word), Jews controlled 75 per cent of the real estate in the old Reich. The figures for the Ostmark (Austria) and the newly annexed Sudetenland were even higher amounting to 80 and 85 per cent. At the same time the Jews consisted of 2 per cent or even less of the total population.

When 2 per cent of the population control 75 to 85 per cent of the wealth that leaves the 98 per cent (Gentiles) with the pittance amounting to 15 per cent to 25 per cent. If that isn't slavery, what is?

Because Hitler did something about this terrible condition, he is a "beast." Because he lifted the non-Jewish population of the Reich from serfdom to the status of free, self-respecting propertied people, he must be destroyed as the enemy of civilization.

What civilization? The civilization set forth in the *Torah* and *Talmud* and carried on by the internationalists through the medium of high finance on the one hand, and international Communism on the other; and now being challenged by the Italo-German-Japanese combine representing a civilization free of the influences of Jewish philosophy in politics, science, art, morality, etc. and decreeing that the Jewish race must live quietly and in a way becoming a minority group and that their inherent lust for invisible domination of the earth must be frustrated.

And that's the part of the reason why old Winnie Churchill and the rest of them leave me cold.

—F.M.

Louisville, Ky.

Americans Certain to Reject Alliance With Bloody Soviet

TO THE EDITOR:

The outbreak of the *"blitzkrieg"* between Germany and Soviet Russia is further evidence that the United States should mind its own business right here in its own bailiwick. It is further proof that alliances, trade pacts and friendship agreements are made in Europe as a matter of strategy and convenience, and have no other purpose or obligation.

This new phase of the war is a complete vindication of the efforts of the isolationists and it strengthens their position a hundred fold.

Hitlerism is no more abhorrible than is Stalinism, and I believe that Prime Minister Churchill made the most serious blunder of the war by embracing the Russian Bear.

He has alienated millions of sympathizers here in the United States who had an innate hate for Naziism and were willing to wash their hands of the entire European business while they look at Britain with an eye of suspicion because of the words of sympathy expressed by Churchill toward Red Russia.

The pity of the mess so far is that our Government, through Under-Secretary Sumner Welles, would "subordinate our abhorrence for the Soviet" to go along with Britain.

This strikes the American people a hard blow in foul territory. It has proven that *our entire principle of government is being subordinated to aid Britain and to follow her to the low level of Communism.*

Our "statesmen" forget the "four freedoms" for the moment to go slumming with Britain through the blood-drenched mire of Red Communism.

The Christian American people cannot and will not forget the vicious murder of 20-million by the Soviets.

They will not be subverted and cajoled into believing that helping Russia is a means of establishing any "four freedoms."

Our Government, particularly the State Department, should be made to understand that the people have a sense of honor and decency that forbids any alliance, or aid, to the mad Rasputins of the Bloody Soviet.

The American people will not shake the gory hand of Stalin even if Churchill takes the lead.

Sumner Welles may have spoken for the New Deal but he certainly has not spoken for the American people in this Russian affair.

The cry of "America for the Americans" has hit a lively crescendo since this new outbreak in Russia and *it has given 20-million additional reasons for isolationism.*

The "English-speaking peoples" will have difficulty understanding the "english" of their newly acquired compatriots.

—F.A.G.

Cincinnati, Ohio.

Letters

Many Persons Still Don't Know Money

TO THE EDITOR:

We are not unmindful of the good work SOCIAL JUSTICE and Father Coughlin have done in educating the people about money and credit. He has aroused them, but they cannot learn about this subject by sitting near the radio and being entertained on Sunday afternoons as they did in the old days.

I am almost sure that many of the people who listened, and then went out, parrot-like, and tried to explain to their friends "Father Coughlin's theory about money," did more harm than good to the cause which Father Coughlin has advocated.

The money changers, in the books they have caused to be placed in the schools, make the subject of money very complicated by talking about credit, foreign exchange, gold, money, banking, etc., all in one paragraph.

When we talk about money to any group of people, after they listen for five minutes they come back and say how would you correct the situation and right here is where we let our foot slip. We should refuse to talk about any corrective measures until they first understand the present system.

What we should do is to lead them on by asking questions about our present system and gently show them that they are ignorant. A few simple questions will "flounder" the average fellow and take some of the conceit out of him. Unless you can place his mind in a receptive mood, you might not talk at all as he will feel that he won the battle.

If first things come first, why not ask him how a dollar is created and this will hold him for awhile. If a bank is a place where dollars are deposited and he can't tell you how the dollar is created, then why talk about banks—you have him on the mat right now—let him stay there.

I think you should consider getting some of your experts to write a series of articles about money here in the United States. Tell them first what it is and then its functions. Quote the acts of Congress that allow its creation and this to include, silver certificates and U. S. Notes, including subsidiary coins.

There is not one man in fifty that knows that U. S. Notes are Abe Lincoln greenbacks. The story of Abe's greenbacks alone would make one good article.

Lawful money is another subject that the people could know something about but they don't.

Let us assume that they don't know and talk down to them rather than up to them.

—L.M.G.

Cleveland, Ohio.

Let's Get Together!

TO THE EDITOR:

I will be 72 years old soon, and still earning my own keep. But I can not support all the different organizations that are in existence today and buy all their literature and papers and books they and many individuals have for sale on the money question.

I am a subscriber to *Scribner's Commentator*, SOCIAL JUSTICE, *Roll Call*, *Revelation Money*, *Freedom and Plenty*, *Chicago Leader* and the *Defender*. I am well stocked on the money question, with more material than I have time to read.

Now what I want to see done is for all these money reformers and money reform organizations, such as Honest Money Founders, Constitutional Money League, the Greenback Party, etc., get together for genuine Americanism.

Let's hold a convention soon somewhere near the center of the United States and *combine into one organization* under some such title as *Constitutional American Party*. Set up a money board to work out one kind of money for America. And money that is made constitutional and paid into circulation without tribute to private money coiners.

There might be some other boards, set up at such a convention, such as a "peace board," to see that any foreign war for Americans to fight is put up to a vote of the people, and that all who vote for participation in any foreign wars are to do the fighting and also pay the bill.

Then a "freedom of speech and press board," a radio board and a religious board to see that the freedom of choice is not curtailed.

But the main board would be a "constitutional money board" and that board should be permanent as well as the others made. By combining all our resources into one party, perhaps, we can exert some power, politically and financially, provided we act now, before we are tied up with war.

And we are not going to be forced to go over the pond to shoot the Germans into accepting the British way of life and call it "democracy."

It is Britain that wants the United States and not Germany. We licked Britain twice when we were just a kid, then again when she tried to divide us and get a half. But since we have grown up we must call on Britain for protection. For if Britain falls, it's God save Roosevelt—we are done for!

The truth of the matter is that Great Britain could not take the United States by force so she worked her agents into our Government and tried to trick the American people into giving the United States to Great Britain.

According to the vote on the *"Give Away Bill,"* there were 260 Britishers to 165 American Congressmen and 2/3 of the Senate voted British to 1/3 American.

—J.H.S.

Curtin, Oregon.

World Cannot Dismiss the Record in Ireland

TO THE EDITOR:

For the benefit of the deep thinkers who protest that Ireland is "biting off her nose to spite her face" in her refusal to aid England, I would like to cite a few occasions upon which the Irish did spring to the aid of her oppressor and lost by far more than a nose.

In 1642, the Anglo-Catholic majority of the National Council of Kilkenny, voted arms and money to aid Charles I in his struggle against Cromwell's Parliamentary Reform Group, thereby draining Ireland's resources and rendering ineffectual the heroic endeavors of her great generals, Rory O'More and Owen Roe O'Neill, in their bitter fight for national independence, and leaving Ireland an easy prey to Cromwell's vengeance, when he finally liquidated Charles, and became ruler of the British Commonwealth.

This vengeance took various forms, all of which had one result in common—dead Irishmen. Highlights were:

The massacre at Drogheda, where the commonwealth soldiery butchered the entire garrison of 3,000 individuals including women and children.

Similar acts of retribution took place in most of the Northern cities, Wexford, Arklow, Clommel, Cashel, wherever a hand or voice was raised against this "father of the people."

The Cromwellian Settlement, which banished all native Irish to the barren wastes of Connaught, while their fertile lands were confiscated by the Commonwealth; the capturing and selling of young Irish lads and maidens, into slavery in the colonies of the far-flung Empire—including America.

When, in 1688, William of Orange landed in England, the army of James II melted before the might of the usurper — and the valiant James fled to France; 50,000 Irishmen enlisted their services in his behalf and Tyconnell invited him to Ireland. There James took command of an army which in abler hands would have changed the course of history, but his weak nature and vaccillating policy constantly thwarted the magnificent generalship of Sarsfield and Tyconnell. Finally, when events proved threatening, James again made a masterly flight to France, leaving a thoroughly disquieted, disgusted and undiscouraged Irish army which continued the fight with much success, until finally stopped at the fateful Battle of Aughrim, where they surrendered with honorable terms, which terms, in quite the same fashion of present day of oppression, began all over again, with confiscations, executions, transportations, and enactment of the New Penal laws, which enumerated the things a Catholic could not do — leaving him only the privilege of breathing if he still considered it worth his while.

—R.H.

Rochester, N. Y.

WHICH?

Comment

United States, Jews and South America

MRS. ARCHIBALD SILVERMAN, one of America's outstanding Jewish women, recently returned from Brazil. The report of what she saw is contained in *The Sentinel* —an American-Jewish weekly:

"And so in Rio, where the political situation is very precarious, I learned the following:

"There are 100,000 Jews in all Brazil.

"There are about two million Germans —non-Jews, of course—living there.

"There is a very large Japanese element in the land.

"The government is definitely pro-Nazi, the outstanding heads of the important government departments as well as the heads of the army favoring the Axis.

"All foreign languages are forbidden, either in public meeting or the press.

"The prohibition of the public use of any language other than Portuguese, the national tongue, has been enforced for some time, while the ban on the use of a foreign-language press is going into effect on July 1, the government having granted a six-month wind-up period for the many newspapers thus affected.

"The refugee problem is becoming more and more acute, for the government is making it increasingly difficult and costly for even those having the necessary visas to remain there; the procedure almost savors of blackmail in many instances.

"No money may be sent out of the country.

"No funds may be collected for non-local purposes—not for relief or for Palestine, or even for the Red Cross.

"There are restrictions on leaving or re-entering the land at the present time.

"Even Brazilian-born residents are having difficulty securing the necessary permission.

"Educational restrictions: no Brazilian child may be educated outside of Brazil; each must go to school in his own land until he is at least nineteen years old.

"Inasmuch as these edicts and restrictions are supposed to be for Brazilian self-preservation, to prevent 'alien' influences from penetrating and weakening Brazilian nationality and loyalty, nothing can be said or done about them. Though these harsh and rigid decrees affect many groups in their inability to disseminate first-hand information through public meetings or the press, since therefore they cannot raise money for much-needed relief and similarly vital purposes, yet I am afraid it is the Jew who is already the greatest sufferer!

"For, due to these prohibitions, the Brazilian Jew not only cannot continue with his Zionist work, his relief collections and his aid to relatives in far-off lands, but he finds himself isolated and cut off from all the Jewish contact that he himself needs for his own preservation as a Jew. What is he doing about it?

"In Rio, where there must be about 50,000 Jews, I was appalled to learn that nothing at all is being done! The Jews have accepted the orders literally, without question or protest. They have 'folded their (Jewish) tents in the night' and have completely ceased all their money-raising and Zionist activities. When I came to them to exhort and arouse them from their self-imposed lethargy, I found an almost resentful attitude on the part of these Jews, who, having crossed the Rubicon of Jewish responsibility, were already inured to the new trend with obvious resignation.

"This inactivity, this demoralization of the Rio Jews, presages their doom as Jews. No Yiddish press, no Zionist activity, no outside Jewish influence — just a closed circle surrounded by an anti-Semitism that is making itself felt more and more in their daily life—with nothing of the spirit to feed their Jewish souls. What a tragedy! . . ."

Thus the Jewish situation is precarious in Brazil and in most of South America. It appears our neighbors are definitely on the side of the Axis powers.

Efforts will be made, however, to win the 21 Republics to our way of thinking, to our economy and to our racial influence.

* * *

Quoting the *Times-Herald* article of George D. Riley, the Congressional Record of June 30th tells us what Americans are going to do about the South American situation.

"Nelson Rockefeller is going to hire an assistant coordinator at $9,000, a liaison officer at $8,000, an executive assistant at $8,000, 2 Latin-American consultants at $8,000, and a host of others who will get big pay. In addition he is going to engage 36 $1-a-year men. There will be a total of 282 new jobs.

"He will add a director of music at $5,600, a publications section chief at $8,000, a director of public cultural relations division at $8,000. Here are some others:

"Director, art section, $6,500; director, education section, $6,500; director, languages, $8,000; director, welfare-health, $6,000; director, communications, $9,000; director, radio section $9,000; director, movies section, $8,000; director, news section, $8,000; syndicate specialist, $6,500; director, travel section, $6,500; director, sports section, $6,500; director, commercial development section, $8,000; director, special division, $8,000; director, finance division, $7,000; director, natural resources, $7,000; general counsel, $8,000; director, public education division, $8,000; director, projects directions division, $9,000.

"And a long list of other titles. The messengers will continue to get $1,080 (4) and $1,200 (6). The secretaries to all these big shots will get only $1,800.

"Mr. Rockefeller will spend $195,000 to print 60,000 copies of a book containing the President's speeches. A magazine, 80,000 copies of which will be printed monthly, will be issued. Forty thousand will be circulated free.

"Three hundred thousand dollars will be spent to import young men from South America to give them jobs as administrators (Rhodes scholarship level) in the city governments throughout the United States and 'other similar agencies.' "

Someday we will get it into our heads that you can't buy all people with money.

The Rothschilds bought themselves into position in Europe. The Lehmans, Warburgs, Morgenthaus, etc., will not be successful in buying themselves into position in South America through the expenditures of Nelson Rockefeller.

How John Bull Uses The Lease-Lend Law

WRITING in *The Chicago Tribune* (June 30), William Fulton discloses how Great Britain circumvents the United States of America in business dealings at the expense of the American taxpayer.

The story reads as follows:

A warning that the United States must not become so absorbed in its rearmament efforts that other countries will bottle up our trade channels with South America was sounded today by James S. Kemper, Chicago insurance man and former president of the United States Chamber of Commerce. He declared the British especially are not letting the war cramp their style.

Arriving on the liner *Uruguay* after a seven weeks' visit in South America, Kemper said British firms, unworried by the war at home, were pursuing business vigorously wherever it could be found in this country's neighbor nations to the south.

"We certainly could take a lesson from the way the British are handling their commercial affairs these days in South America," remarked Kemper. "Their representatives down there are aggressive, keen for business, active in going after old accounts and getting new ones.

"It mystifies South Americans that people from European countries, which are right in the middle of the war, seem less excited about it than the United States. We should not get so wrapped up in this defense program that we lose our trade channels to the south."

Kemper was chairman of the United States Chamber of Commerce delegation to the Conference of American Organizations of Business and Production at Montevideo. All 21 South American Republics were represented except Haiti.

* * *

The conference decided to set up machinery for settling disputes between manufacturers, shippers, and buyers of the various countries through arbitration instead of in the courts.

Most startling of the reports which Kemper heard at the conference sessions and in his tour of the larger cities were:

First, that lend-lease materials given away by this country are filtering back into South America to compete with United States products; and, secondly, that censorship officials, opening the mails at Trinidad, are making American trade secrets available to British commercial agents.

"One representative of a large firm in the United States told me how he had bid for a large project which would take three years to complete," said Kemper. "The British came in and said they would do the job in two years. This American told me the British could underbid his concern because various materials were accessible to them under the lend-lease bill, but not to him."

* * *

South Americans are eager to do business with the United States, Kemper declared, but are showing increasing concern over the transfer of merchant vessels under the United States flag from the trade routes to government use for the army or navy. Cargoes are piling up on the docks for want of bottoms to carry them northward.

Free Nations vs. 'Soviets Limited'

In response to numerous insistent requests, we continue the quotation from Mr. Wyndham Lewis' book "Count Your Dead; They Are Alive," a portion of which was printed in this space recently. The book was published in London, England, in 1937 — the more remarkable since its comment preceded much of the world situation which it forecast.

I think I need not apologize if I dwell upon the greatest of the Western Democracies, at a time when democratic institutions are so much on everybody's lips.

It was no less a person than Edmund Burke who wrote in these terms of the Western Hemisphere:

"Nothing less than a convulsion . . . can ever restore the European nations to that liberty by which they were once so much distinguished. The Western world was the seat of freedom until another, more Western, was discovered; and that other will probably be its asylum when it is hunted down in every other part."

It is long since those words were written. But in spite of everything, the Americans still have a shot or two in their locker, in the manner of liberty; on account of this recurrent "dream" of theirs, and because of their relative isolation.

The *Times* did supply a useful statement, in its "American Dream" leader, of the fundamental opposition existing in the United States between those who, like Mr. Roosevelt, fatalistically accept the mechanical dictates of the Machine Age (as did Karl Marx) and those who, like Senator Borah (or like Hitler), do not.

Mr. Roosevelt would argue that he bowed to the inevitable (optimist and fatalist at once). That would signify acceptance of the monopolistic principle, of centralized control. Mr. Borah would, on the other hand, insist that the "American Dream" must not be repudiated, in favor of that of an abstract, depersonalized community, upon an insect model.

What would amount to a sort of Inca empire, but reinforced with all the power plant of which the Machine Age man disposes, is what Borah would predict: a society out of which the last vestiges of freedom upon the Whig pattern, and as that would have been understood by Franklin or Jefferson, had been crushed. This slick uniformity of centrally "planned" existence, where the animal life has been subdued to a metallic pattern—that *is* America, you might say if you were there. Yet, possibly for that reason, in the homeland of the slick and the New the bitterest struggle is likely to be waged against the stereotyping of life.

That political battle, however, in which the United States of America is at present engaged, with such a savage desperation, is the same battle that is being fought out in Europe—the battle to join in which Great Britain has just started to arm to the teeth, upon the side of political monism. Only here it is more complex.

Those of the anti-trust persuasion over here we call "nationalists" (or, less exactly, "Fascists"), since the "States" here are also nations. The other side we call "Democrats" or "Communists," but the one accurate generic term that should serve for both is "internationalists." It would be better to drop altogether the three terms "Communist," "Fascist," and "Democrat," as I have already implied: for where they are not plain humbug, they are always, as definitions, unsatisfactory.

When Mr. Bernard Shaw says Mr. Roosevelt is a Communist, but does not know it, he means that he is an internationalist, masquerading (innocently) as a patriot.

Now for my part I am on the side of the pluralists. I am a "nationalist" because I am a pluralist, rather than a pluralist because I am a "nationalist." I do not admire that fatalism which accepts the conditions imposed upon men by the technique of industry (their invention). I am upon the side of "The American Dream"—or "The English Dream," or "The German Dream"—as against the dream of the internationalist. Everything of value in life, as I see it, would be destroyed in the process, were a stereotyped control of human life to be enforced.

You may be on the other side, you probably are—and I may be wrong and you may be right. My dream may prevail, or yours may do so. But at least my views are held without affectation. I am, as we say, sincere. And they do not proceed from any mercenary or worldly calculation. Indeed, my personal interests would unquestionably direct me to throw in my lot with the internationalists. They are richer and, materially, the more powerful faction. That would be the orthodox, the superficially "intelligent," the most profitable thing to do.

I am not a conservative. Yet if I were (like our Leader, Mr. Baldwin), a conservative I should find it difficult to justify a policy of support for the international idea, or for political monopoly. For Mr. Baldwin's political god, Benjamin Disraeli, described with an exemplary clarity what the attitude of the conservative should be towards precisely this question of centralized power *versus* decentralized power: "The Tory party will yet rue the day that they consented to the *Poor Law Bill*, for they acted contrary to principle — *the principle of opposing everything like centralized government, and favoring in every way the distribution of power.*"

There was "a mysterious stranger" giving the English ruling class a very sound piece of advice indeed, and incidentally enunciating a principle which holds as much today as it did then.

But it is not a matter of conservatism or of radicalism, in England at all events. You can be either conservatively minded, or radically minded, it makes no difference, and with equal fervor object to world uniformity, and cast your vote for world diversity.

* * *

Never allow yourself, sir or madam, to be taken in by any of these slick phrases, "Collective Security," or "Indivisible Peace," or what not. Keep your eye fixed upon Woolworth's. That is my advice to you. There you have the key! To understand politics, you must forget politics. When people talk "Collectivist" politics, think of Woolworth's. Let that be your compass, sir or madam, upon these treacherous seas.

Woolworth's is collectivism (or Communism) in practice. But imagine *nothing* but Woolworth's! — instead of merely one big cheap store in every district. That is what all "collectivism" — whether it be that of Baldwin or of Pollitt — asks you, ultimately, to sanction and applaud.

Another great war is blowing up, as I suppose any fool can see. To understand its meaning (for it *has* a meaning all right, however meaningless it may at first sight appear) fix your mind upon this tendency to coalesce into corporations, to fuse into one vast monopolistic mass.

That is what the nations are doing, just as much as shops and factories. And those who decline to *coalesce* are now being threatened by those who are the leading members of this great political *Trust.*

When Herr Hitler is incessantly commanded to "collaborate," by Great Britain and France, that is what is meant. Yet he stands out. And Italy as well. Likewise Japan. These great States *will not* consent to submerge themselves in this political trustification, which we desire to impose on them. So we shall fight them, sir. By God we shall! I do assure you, they will not consent. *That is why — unless Great Britain drops 'this policy of coercion — there must be war!*

Do not permit yourself, oh my fellow citizen, to be duped by this word "collaborate." It means *submit.* It is a demand to Germany (with menaces) to merge in a super-State.

But turn back again from the political scene, and scrutinize once more the domestic scene. However inexperienced you may be politically, there is nothing in the foreign policy of the British government, no matter how obscure it may seem, that cannot be decoded immediately by referring to the chain store, or to Lyons the Universal Caterers.

These "sovereign States" we call Germany, Spain, Poland, Italy, Japan are relatively small, independent businesses. Think of them as businesses. Incidentally they are businesses who have defied the big Credit Institutions — or International Banks — upon whose accommodation such businesses are supposed to depend for their continued existence. And it is not *us*, the People of England, but the International Banking Interests (of whom all democratic governments are the agents) who loudly and threateningly demand their *return* into the economic fold. And "Soviets Limited" shouts for it too, now openly at our side.

These relatively small businesses — which "sentimentalists" still insist upon calling by the old-fashioned name of "nation" — do not desire to surrender their natural identity, and to enter the World Trust, either of a "League of Nations" or of "Soviets Limited."

There you have, sir or madam, the whole matter in a nutshell.

* * *

If you are a housewife, look out of your window. You will see the explanation of world politics a little beyond your doorstep. No need to rack your brains to discover what all these diplomatic moves, and countermoves, may mean. You have the explanation in your everyday life.

England, during a quarter of a century, has witnessed a great revolution, in economic and social life. First of all, we have seen the elimination of the small individualist trader and the establishment of monster monopolies. This process is said to be "inevitable." But it equally inevitably results, and must result more and more, in a deterioration of the quality of what is supplied. That is generally admitted. But, it is said, there is no help for it. It is the price men pay for "progress."

You get your "essential services" from public utility companies almost indistinguishable from departments of State. Also you get your milk from the United Dairy Company, not from a Welshman as formerly, running a small retail shop. You

(Continued on Page 18)

(Continued from Page 17)

would be surprised if you knew how much of your butcher's meat is supplied by a syndicate. The small individual trader, whom you believe you are dealing with, is as often as not the employee of a combine. And Messrs. Lyons have made us familiar with the same phenomenon in the teashop and restaurant trade. It is therefore within the competence of every housewife to observe how monopolism works.

Now it is only natural that in the political field the same tendencies should be reflected. Politicians like Mr. Baldwin *more than ever* have the look of sturdy "individualists," standing up for us all against "the other fellow," whoever he may be. And every shallow demagogue promises us the protection of his bluff honesty against exploitation. How often they have betrayed us!

These politicians are themselves just unimportant individuals like ourselves. Ultimately they have no more power than a flea. And they have for a long time recognized this to be the case. For they are not such fools as they look.

They have, by the pressure of events, by constant experience, learnt their lesson. They are perfectly honest men, at least they are as honest as most of us. That's not saying much, you mutter? Well, let him throw the first stone who is quite sure he is more honest than Mr. Baldwin, that is all I can say. (Though Mr. Baldwin's honesty is above the average, it might even be described as abnormal.)

Most of the politicians at present performing for our benefit at Westminster started as "rugged individualists." But very few of them by this time but have had all that nonsense knocked out of them. From habit they *look*, and outwardly behave, as terribly rugged, downright, and self-willed fellows. But that is just for fun, and so that we should all "keep smiling," and feel we are in the hands of a good kind political uncle who will look after us. For they are rather political uncles than statesmen.

Most of these men have been won over to the theory of monopoly. Of political monopoly. They agree — they can do nothing else — with Litvinov, that arch-monopolist, for instance, that "Peace is Indivisible."

You may not have heard it, if you don't follow politics. But you will hear a good deal about this expression once the war starts.

"Peace is indivisible."

But that is, it is perfectly clear, merely a way of saying that *everything* is indivisible. War is indivisible too. (For by "peace" Litvinov just means war. He means: "If you don't obey us, if you cause us to make war on you, then *all* nations will be at war. No nation can stand out.")

It is the Lyons teashop principle applied to the world of politics. Nations, even big nations, must politically *sell out* and be absorbed by this big combine at Geneva, or perish. And that is why Mr. Attlee, in his speech in Paris, said that nations must abandon the notion of "the Sovereign State." If they will not abandon it voluntarily, they must be compelled to do so by armed force. That is what is meant by a "contribution to peace." That they should abandon it *voluntarily*.

* * *

What is this notion of national sovereignty, as seen from the standpoint of the monopolist? It is a challenge to the all-powerful world corporation he has in view, and which is actually in process of formation. It makes him see red. Literally.

When Mr. Litvinov (representing the great Russian empire, stretching from the Baltic to Korea) threatens Germany or Italy; or when Mr. Eden (representing the British Empire, which sprawls all over the world) does the same, it is as if a representative of Lyons teashops had dropped in to warn the proprietor of one of those little cafes that a Lyons was going to be run up next door to him, which would put him out of business. That, alternatively, and as a generous concession, Lyons would take over his business, and it would be only common sense if he consented to this deal. Else — ! (I am not saying that Messrs. Lyons do anything of this sort. But it is in effect what all monopoly implies.)

When Mr. Neville Chamberlain says: "As the leader and spokesman of one of the most powerful and influential nations in Europe, he (Hitler) has got it in his power to make an invaluable contribution to etc., etc., etc.," he is merely saying: "The peace-loving nations are more heavily armed than you are, Herr Hitler, and have at their back unlimited resources, and they will unquestionably make war on you, if you do not submit to their will, and if you persist in going on with this sovereign State stuff. And as *we* are peace-loving as well, we shall probably go to war with you, too. Now how about this *invaluable contribution* to peace, Mr. Hitler?"

What Herr Hitler is required to do, as I have said, is to merge Germany in the League of Monopolist States, and it would of course follow that he would then have no need for an army at all. For there is no point in having *any* army if you are not to be allowed to have what is adequate for self-defense. If you abandon the conception of national sovereignty, then the idea of self-defense, too, becomes a thing of the past. That is self-evident.

If you belong no longer to yourself, but to *everybody*, then clearly nobody would have anything to gain by attacking and invading you. You would be theirs to all intents and purposes, without their going to that trouble. As a province of the international, you would be safe from everything *except* the international. And as to that, rebellion would be useless.

Among the monopolist States, Great Britain, France, and Russia are the great protagonists. The three greatest empires in the world. These three empires are now trustified, made into a mighty trust of interlocking syndicates. That is what the *Franco-Soviet Pact* and the *Franco-British Alliance* signify.

That is merely an old-fashioned, political, way of putting it, to call these "pacts" and "alliances." It is "nationalist" language. It has to be used by Messrs. Eden, Baldwin, and Blum, because of course the majority of people are still "nationalist" minded.

In the background is the United States of America, the most powerful single State in the world. And Mr. Roosevelt, as I have remarked, is an arch-monopolist. So far as he is concerned, he sees eye to eye with the three great syndicated empires. *He would send battleships into a League War tomorrow, if he could. He is as much "a League of Nations man" as was President Wilson. He is a confirmed internationalist.*

But President Roosevelt has not such an easy hand to play as Stalin, ruling a chain of semi-Asiatic, or Asiatic, republics; or as Baldwin, or Blum. The two latter gentlemen know that they can make war as easily as they can light a cigarette. President Roosevelt would have more difficulty. With that thirty-five billion dollars of debt on his back he is doing a tight-rope trick that war might upset, and the audience might smash up the theater if he began firing at them. But, leaving that aside, he and his advisers are heart and soul in the world-monopoly racket.

* * *

I can now return to the statement with which I started. Whatever ornamental words you may employ such as "democracy," "freedom," etc., the real principles involved are those of monopoly, and anti-monopoly. We, that is Great Britain, are in with the monopolists: the adherents of "indivisibility." Over against us are a lot of States which represent relatively small-scale capital. "Creative Capital," as Herr Feder would call it.

We stand for the Big Combine. They represent the little individualist business, as it were, now in armed revolt against the insolent tyranny of the empire trusts, which are merging into one empire.

In the Abyssinian war the Italian soldiers asserted that the war they were engaged in was a "proletarian war." By this they meant they were really fighting for *themselves* — in their capacity of small proprietors and tradesmen. These slave-driving Semitic overlords, of whom Haile Selassie was the chief, did very little with Abyssinia. They, the workmen of Italy, would put all this land to better and more civilized uses.

Italy has been named "the great Proletarian." Same meaning. A country of a host of small interests, rather than one big interest.

But you will say the Russians are "proletarians" too. No, not in this living sense, because they are all the employees of the State. Even the peasants are that. They do not work for themselves. They are looked at askance if they show any tendency to possess more than one cow, or indeed to possess (apart from the State) anything.

But if you work for the State, or for a great corporation, it is all one, as far as *you* are concerned.

You are a wage slave and can never be anything else. Hence "proletarian." Hence "class conscious": conscious, that is, of belonging to the great herd of wage slaves. In order to remain beautifully "class conscious" you have to remain poor. That is elementary. The only difference is that if you work for *Soviets Limited, first*, you don't get as much money: *secondly*, there is only *one* corporation, so you can't change your employer, or better yourself: and *thirdly*, if you *strike* you are shot.

The last four years have made it clear to any intelligent man, who may before have been puzzled and unable to make up his mind, that "Soviet Communism" is just a monopolist variation on "Dollar Diplomacy," or the "British Hegemony"; only with a lot of crude salvationism thrown in. It is *Soviets Limited* with a propaganda department as big as all the other departments of state put together. Aimeemacphersonesque personnel, run on Salvation Army lines, attains five or six figures. It is the most stupendous racket the world has ever seen.

* * *

In conclusion, let me turn again to war. Our Government publicly bickers with the governments of the so-called "Fascist" States. No week passes but what there are passages of arms, confined as yet to words and menaces. But one fine day, if this con-

(Continued on Page 19)

(Continued from Page 18)

tinues, a blow will be struck. This bloodless riot cannot be prolonged indefinitely, without someone striking out. Then we shall be once more *at war.*

Since the world began there has been a struggle between the rich and the poor. Well, whatever else may be in doubt, we now know that at least we and our French and Soviet allies stand for the rich.

We are the "Haves." The other lot are the "Have-nots."

At first sight this would seem, from a purely worldly standpoint, highly satisfactory. If we look at it closer, however, it gets to look a little less so. For the odd thing is that the richer Great Britain gets, the poorer *we* appear to be. And it is the same in France. What is more, this applies not only to the "proletariat" of mechanics and small merchants, but to anybody, whether he be a clubman or a street-hawker.

It looks almost as if, in the end, we should all be *proletarianized,* does it not, upon the Russian model; without any revolution being required to effect this end. It is only a matter of time.

So to those who see the full significance of what is happening to all without distinction, this is the cardinal novelty. Namely, that what is happening is happening *to all of us.* For the first time in the history of England the clubman's interests are identical with the street-hawker's. The power that has us under observation, and is treating *one* of us in this way, is treating, or will treat (without compunction, without "patriotism," and without the appeal of the old school tie being able to function), *all* after the same fashion.

The following rather odd situation thereby arises. We see "our country," or what is still supposed to be that, abandoning its (or *our*) sovereign rights as a nation, and (without consulting us) amalgamating with other nations. And we see such countries as Germany and Italy standing up for *our* rights (since to stand up for the principle of the sovereign State is to stand up for us) against our country, "Great Britain" (which is no longer *our* country, or indeed in the strictest sense a country at all according to Internationalist principles).

As Englishmen we can only find this situation painful and perplexing. For if we are what was called in the bad old days "patriots," there is nothing any longer to be patriotic about.

We see our *own house* falling into decay. We ask if we may not do something about these misery spots and "distressed areas." We are told: "No. It is your duty *first* to attend to the members of the International Brigade at Madrid." Or to the financing of Jewish "refugee" students; or the famine-stricken populations of Russia. And when we say we *want* to do that, but we want first of all to attend to our own people, we are scornfully told that we are "Fascists." We still, that is obvious, cling to the old "individualist" notion of the sovereign State!

That this is confusing to say the least, it is not necessary to point out. And now on top of it all we are informed that we ought to prepare to get ourselves killed in a terrible war (or stay at home and be gassed), because Herr Hitler *will* not promise to stop making synthetic rubber and petrol for his own use instead of borrowing money from us to buy ours: also refusing to sanction the teaching of the Communist blood-bath, and to make "pacts" with what he persists in regarding as a particularly nasty and dangerous type of cutthroat.

The position is indeed a peculiarly difficult one for any Englishman, emancipated from the sanctimonious drone about Democracy, and able to appraise the Hitler bugaboo at its proper worth.

He recognizes that Mr. Baldwin, at present the leader of the nation, has in effect renounced nationalism, or the principles of patriotism, in embracing Internationalism — though affecting still, to be the bluff and typical patriot. He is sure that the interests of England — regarded as a sovereign State — are diametrically opposed to the internationalist politics of Mr. Baldwin. He feels certain that the war that is so much talked of, and for which the British government appears to be preparing us, will be waged by the British government *against* the best interests of England; and whatever its outcome, it must lead to the weakening and final extinction of England as an independent national unit, or a "Sovereign State."

A difficult position for a patriot, if ever there was one! For if this war ended in the extinction of all nations, as independent polities, would it not be better for those countries to succeed who would be fighting to retain their *own* independence, and so finally that of other nations?

So this greatly perplexed Englishman would be following in his leaders' footsteps, but the opposite way to Mr. Baldwin. The internationalism that causes *Mr. Baldwin* to be unpatriotic would lead *him* to be unpatriotic, too. For *in the abstract* it would be better for those nations to triumph who upheld the principle of national independence, than those who upheld the principles of national subservience to an "indivisible" conception of a cosmopolitan super-state. Yet it would be unpatriotic for him to desire their triumph, because England was at war with them (at war with them in a determined effort to destroy patriotism for ever and so *England* for ever).

Here is the terrible dilemma in which the Englishman, consciously or unconsciously, is placed today. It is a "great turning point in the world's history," he is told. Everything depends on England. "We are convinced that world peace can yet be saved by an Anglo-German settlement, which would do more than anything else to promote other understandings; and that world peace can be saved by nothing else." (Mr. Garvin.) Yet that settlement is impossible, because the British government lays down, in unfriendly and unaccommodating manner, as if addressing a male-factor, impossible conditions, insisting that Germany should agree to remain inadequately armed, in face of the *Franco-Soviet Pact.*

Usually wars have been prepared in secret, and blow up very suddenly when the time comes. But we have all had time to think about this one, and to examine the issue from every imaginable angle. With a sanctimonious fatalism the British government await the collision. But there is no conceivable reason that it should ever occur, that any of us can see. Theoretically most wars have held forth to one side or the other hope of gain. Nothing can be gained by this one. Only loss. As a war-in-the-making it is the most senseless-looking war that man can ever have gazed upon. And we shall be cursed by our own people, eventually, for making it.

And yet, England being no longer a democracy, we can do nothing to avert it. If there is a debate in the House of Commons, no one is allowed to speak except a handful of Ministers and ex-Ministers: those, namely, who have embraced the policy that must, it seems, lead to war. That, gentlemen, is the situation. I think I have said all that it is possible to say.

On With the 'Parade'

ANOTHER new leftist-liberal — and doubtless anti-Christian — journal is about to join the parade in which we already have seen *Voice, New Masses, Equality, P.M.,* and their ilk. We hear they're even going to call it *'Parade'!*

The venture, we're told, is to be staffed by the editorial workers deserting the badly listing hulk of *P.M.* Mr. Marshall Field, who has been pouring money down the *P.M.* rathole, appears to have turned off that spigot.

Well, if you pour enough of anything down a rathole, rats will come out; and we're more than ever credulous of the old sea legend about how the cute little rascals will quit a doomed ship long before sailors can determine what's wrong.

P.M.'s year — it's first, and last — closes with bigger and dirtier libels against decent Christian Americans. But things are getting so in New York that even an anti-Christian smear will not keep one of these scandal sheets alive any more.

'Jack' Spivak overworked that one on the *New Masses;* and the re-hash by *P.M.* is even "lousier" than N.M.

* * *

But, whatever banner they carry in "the Parade," you can always scent an oriental Marxian odor about these short-lived character assassination rackets: *P.M.* (pro-Marx) or *N. M.* (*Nuhr Marx*-Marx only), they're all the same. The "racket" has been overworked; and the imitation amateur Marxists are even more insufferable than the original article.

In the case of *P.M.* the preliminary promotion was better than any edition of the magazine. New Yorkers have pretty well caught onto the "fire-sale" *technique.* Both sides of this journalistic Bowery street have been worked by the internationalist panhandlers.

That well-paid editorial veterans were so willing to quit the security of good positions, to join Ingersoll in his adventure, is as much of a commentary on "inside conditions" of other newspapers as it is a tribute to the persuasive powers of the promoter. At best, the editorial situation on these counting-house newspapers is bad enough; even a red guild cannot reach the seat of the trouble.

* * *

New Masses, we hear, is to have a brand new set of editors. It seems that this self-appointed voice of the Communist Comintern went all-out with assurances that war between Hitler and Stalin was unthinkable, and they "made the street" just as the big fight in Russia got under way.

Now, a wrong guess is apt to jump out and bite any passing editor, but the pose of "official spokesman" for the Soviet dictatorship lost face for Joe Stalin. And that is something that one just does not do to Stalin, or Lenin, or any other Big Red. The notorious Moscow trials with their horrendous "confessions" are now conceded to have been "face saving" for the big shots.

So, while we're to have new names and new formats, do not be deceived by these rapidly changing radical tactics. The next paper, and the next "committee," will be just another short-lived fanfare in the smear parade.

And even the anti-Christian smears are not what they used to be. How many more centuries will it take these people to realize that lies, of whatever variety, cannot kill Truth — no more than the slaughter of Christians ever killed off the Christian faith.

NATIONAL WEEKLY
Social Justice
Founded 1936 by Father Coughlin

JUSTICE NOW!

A TWO-PENNY pamphlet entitled "*Justice Now!*" was published at Melbourne, Australia, with the formal approval of the Archbishops and Bishops of the country.

It deserves the attention of the subscribers of SOCIAL JUSTICE.

Dealing with the general subject of social justice, the pamphlet is divided into eight chapters,

Chapter I is entitled *"The Meaning of Social Justice."* It reads in part:

"4. In the course of this statement a number of principles will be laid down, the observance of which is demanded by Social Justice.

"5. It is important to understand that the demand of Social Justice refers to the public authorities who are responsible for shaping the social economy. Their duty is to take those measures that will bring about the conditions that will enable the economic system to fulfil its purpose of maintaining life.

"6. But Social Justice is binding also on the individual members of the community, forbidding them to do anything which interferes with the achievement of the common good.

"7. It is necessary that the seriousness of the obligation imposed by Social Justice should be realized. When it is said that the right to a Family Wage is absolute in Social Justice, too few people realize how personal is the responsibility of an employer who flagrantly infringes that right.

"8. Even the workers whose interests are directly concerned in this matter of the Family Wage do not fully realize that an employer who pays a wage approximating to it is not doing anything more than he is bound to do.

"9. In paying the Family Wage an employer is simply giving his workers what belongs to them. He is handling THEIR money, not his own. And just as a person has no right to retain money belonging to another, so no employer, where the industry can really afford to pay the Family Wage, is entitled to withhold it.

"10. By doing so he would be taking possession of money not his own, and his conduct would be equivalent to theft.

"11. This is only an example, and it must not be supposed that Social Justice or the right to a Family Wage is confined to one particular class. All men have the right to receive the Family Wage, irrespective of whether they are industrial workers or not.

"In the discussion that follows on the Family Wage, the word 'worker' is used in the sense of all men who must work for a living whether they be industrial workers or not.

"12. The rights which wage-earners enjoy in Social Justice have their corresponding duties. The Bishops explained these duties in their Statement of 1940:

" 'Workers have their special obligations, such as giving an honest day's work for a fair day's pay, and of using the family wage with prudence and thrift, not squandering it on useless luxuries, but providing for the future, as well as the present, welfare of the members. This requires some self-denial and forethought, which are more easily practised with the help and guidance of religion.' "

Further Excerpts From the Prophetic Book, 'Count Your Dead: They Are Alive'